

TRANSCRIPT

University Council
Wednesday, October 16, 2019, 3 p.m.
Altgeld Hall 315
Northern Illinois University
DeKalb, Illinois

VOTING MEMBERS PRESENT: Arado, Ayers (for Millhorn), Bateni, Beyer, Bohanon, Bolden, Bond, Burton, G. Chen, J. Chen, Chmaissem, Collins, David, Drew, Farrell, Gilson (for Elish-Piper), Hanley, Hines, Ingram, Johnson, Kassel, Kushnick (for Block), Lang, Ledgerwood, Littauer, Majumdar (for Peterson), Martin, McGill (for Freeman), Moraga, Nelson, Newman, Owoeye, Park (for Rajagopalan), Pearson, Penrod, Pendergrass (for Mooney), Polansky, Rau, Rigertas, Rooney, Royce, Schatteman, Schraufnagel, Shi, Siegesmund, Skarbinski, Staikidis, Thu, Weffer, White, Wilson

VOTING MEMBERS ABSENT: Barnhart, Binderup, Block, Branch, Doederlein, Elish-Piper, Freeman, Kim, Millhorn, Mooney, Peterson, Rajagopalan, Scherer, Woodruff

OTHERS PRESENT: Bryan, Douglass, Falkoff, Ghrayeb, Hsu, Jensen, Klaper, Klonoski, Stang (for Wesener Michael)

OTHERS ABSENT: Blazey, Ferguson, Gelman, Groza, Hanna, Kortegast, Marsh, Wesener Michael

I. CALL TO ORDER

B. Ingram: I'll call the meeting to order. It's 3 o'clock. I'm here substituting for Lisa Freeman today.

Meeting called to order at 3 p.m.

II. VERIFICATION OF QUORUM

B. Ingram: Verification of the quorum?

P. Erickson: Do we want to have everyone click in?

B. Ingram: Sure.

K. Thu: 1 is for you're present on your clicker, and 2 is you're not present. We need one more. There we go. All right.

B. Ingram: All right, quorum has been verified.

III. ADOPTION OF THE AGENDA

B. Ingram: Are there any corrections or additions to the agenda? Any corrections or additions? All right, the agenda stands approved as adopted.

K. Thu: Need a motion? We usually do a motion.

B. Ingram: Okay, is there a motion to approve the agenda?

Unidentified: Approve.

B. Ingram: Okay, second?

Unidentified: Second.

B. Ingram: All in favor?

Members: Aye.

B. Ingram: Any opposed? Abstentions?

IV. APPROVAL OF THE SEPTEMBER 11, 2019 MINUTES

B. Ingram: Okay, approval of the minutes. Are there any corrections or additions to the minutes? Is there a motion to approve the minutes?

Unidentified: So moved.

B. Ingram: Second?

Unidentified: Second.

B. Ingram: All in favor?

Members: Aye.

B. Ingram: Opposed? Abstentions? Great.

V. PUBLIC COMMENT

B. Ingram: Do we have any public comment?

P. Erickson: No.

VI. PRESIDENT'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

B. Ingram: So, I have just a few things to share with you. First, I'm going to leave at 4 o'clock, because I have another Board of Trustees meeting to go to, so when I walk out, it's nothing against Kendall or anything. I thought I'd just want to let you know that ahead of time.

I did want to comment on a visit I made to the IBHE a couple of weeks ago. And the reason I wanted to mention it is because the new IBHE is seemingly focused on some things that are actually near and dear to the hearts of NIU, but it's a change in focus for them. We believe in the conversation that was held when I was there, it was really focused on equity gaps and inclusion and access. And that's a nice alignment with our mission of access and affordability and inclusion. But I think that a lot of the topics that they're going to be talking about are aligned with what we value here at NIU as well. So I think that's really positive. One of our Board of Trustees members, Veronica Herrero, is now the vice chair of that board as well, and so that I think is also very positive. And I know that Veronica has always been committed to those ideals as well.

And then the other thing I wanted to note was the founding dean of the College of Engineering, Rom Kasuba, passed away last week on October 10. The services were actually today, but I did want to note it, because he was the founding dean of the College of Engineering and really laid a foundation for what is now a very successful college on campus. And did a lot of outreach to the state and the region and was also a very prolific researcher. So I just wanted to note that today, especially since the service is today.

With that, I will turn the meeting over to Kendall.

VII. CONSENT AGENDA

K. Thu: We have no items for the consent agenda, and before we get to the reports from boards and standing committees, just want to ask, for those of you who have plastic glasses, please leave them on your seat or the seat next to you; and I'm going to collect them all after the meeting so they don't end up in the landfill.

And I was going to recognize Jerry Blazey, but he's not here. So I'll just wait until he attends a meeting to recognize his recent appointment.

VIII. REPORTS FROM COUNCILS, BOARDS AND STANDING COMMITTEES

A. Faculty Advisory Council to the IBHE – Linda Saborío – report

K. Thu: So, on to Roman numeral VIII., Reports from Councils, Boards and Standing Committees. Faculty Advisory Council to the IBHE, Linda is not here today. However, as always, she has a lengthy report in your packets, starting on page 7. I should note as part of her report, she lists members who have been appointed to the Illinois Board of Higher Education, including Veronica Herrero. So you might want to take a look at that, as well as her meeting summary report.

- B. University Advisory Committee to the Board of Trustees – report
Jeffrey Royce, Catherine Doederlein, Kendall Thu
Alex Gelman, Sarah Marsh, Jason Hanna

K. Thu: With that, the next item is University Advisory Committee to the Board of Trustees report. The board's last meeting was September 12. I'm not going to go through all of the items. I just want to highlight a few things that are in the minutes of that meeting that I think are important. And actually, when faculty ask me questions about the budget or related matters, I oftentimes point them to these meeting minutes, because they're full of information about the board's decisions.

So, first of all, at that meeting, the board approved a total budget request for FY21 that's a three percent increase over the previous year. And, Sarah, if I get any of this wrong, let me know.

Eleven capital projects were approved to be put forward totaling about \$326 million. And then also 20 capital renewal projects were put forward for an estimated \$57 million. So just to give you a sense of what the priorities are for Northern, among the top 11 capital projects, include number one, a health and informatics and technology center. And if you go to the meeting minutes, there's a brief paragraph that describes each one of these projects. The number two on the list is DuSable Hall. So those of us who have taught in DuSable Hall can vouch for the need to renovate DuSable Hall. Number three on the list is Williston. Number four, Still. Number five, Gabel and Graham. Number six, Davis Hall. So, I know there are some of you from Davis Hall here, who I'm sure are happy to hear that's somewhere on the list. And there's more on the list as well.

In terms of the capital renewal projects, at the top of the list includes number one, critical electrical infrastructure improvements – don't ask me, I don't know exactly what that is. It sounds important to me. Second is Swen Parson Hall, the parapet and roof. Number three is the Gabel Hall roof replacement. We've got a lot of roof issues on campus. Number four is the Music Building roof. And then number five is masonry stone restoration to Still Hall. And if you go to the meeting minutes, it not only has NIU's priorities for capital development and renewal, it has the state's ranking of our priorities in their rankings as well.

A couple other notes from the BOT meeting, 24 emeritus faculty were recognized. There is in the meeting minutes detailed federal labor relations report, as well as a detailed report on sponsored program initiatives and funding.

And then the board also had a presentation by Dr. Nicole LaDue, who is a faculty member in Geology and Environmental Geosciences. She talked about her NSF-funded work just to give the board a sense of what research looks like at NIU, which I think is very important. And she did a great job.

So there's a lot more in those meeting minutes than I can elaborate on here, but those are a few of the highlights. Any questions about any of the stuff that I just reported out on? Okay.

C. Academic Policy Committee – Vicki Collins, Chair – no report

K. Thu: Next we have Academic Policy Committee, Vicki Collins. Vicki, are you here to say that you have no report?

V. Collins: No report.

K. Thu: Okay, thanks.

D. Resources, Space and Budget Committee – Therese Arado, Chair – report

K. Thu: Resources, Space and Budget Committee, I know Therese is over there. Therese has a report. Go ahead, Therese.

T. Arado: The Resources, Space and Budget Committee met on Friday, Oct. 4, and President Freeman attended to answer questions that were posed by the committee.

A number of questions came up regarding the proposed new health sciences building that we had just heard about at that point or had just been announced at that point. President Freeman indicated that the designated funds should be provided in stages over the next about six years. And Vice President McGill, feel free to correct me if I'm incorrect. The funds are going to be the result of revenue bonds and legalized gambling funds, so take that for what it is.

K. Thu: The lesson is to smoke pot and gamble.

T. Arado: The funds will be there, but they have to be generated in order to then get to us. Funds have been allocated for the planning stage, and that should begin this fiscal year. The building's going to combine health science programs that are currently housed in several locations. Additional information on the building and planning stage is going to come out later this fiscal year.

Other questions that were presented to President Freeman involved building access issues, specifically related to elevators in the HSC and Health Services where the DRC is located. There are short- and long-term plans in place to provide needed repairs in both locations. Currently work is done to ensure that at least one elevator in Health Services is working at all times. Later this year, there should be a timeline and location identified regarding a relocation of the DRC to a more accessible place.

Minimum wage was discussed. The current budget takes into account the January increase. And if you're not aware, there's multiple increases over the next several years. And ultimately, that's going to come at a cost of about \$11 million, not this January, but ultimately over the process of the increase going on through 2025.

And then the committee started a discussion with Vice President McGill on moving budget oversight at some aspects to the local level, for example, the colleges. And this conversation is going to continue at our November meeting, and we're looking forward to that.

K. Thu: Any questions for Therese? Thanks, Therese.

- E. Rules, Governance and Elections Committee – Richard Siegesmund, Chair – no report

K. Thu: Next we have Rules, Governance and Elections, Richard Siegesmund has no report, but I'm going to return to him a little bit later in the agenda when we get to Unfinished Business.

- F. University Affairs Committee – Hamid Bateni, Chair – no report

K. Thu: Hamid, no report. Hamid, are you here? At least we can recognize you.

- G. Student Association – report
Naomi Bolden, President
Ian Pearson, Speaker of the Senate

K. Thu: That brings us to the Student Association, reports from both President Naomi Bolden and Speaker of the Senate Ian Pearson. I'll turn it over to you.

N. Bolden: Hi. First, I want to say, this past Monday we had our first SA Town Hall meeting. I want to say thank you to Dr. Thu and to all of the rest of administration and faculty that came. We really appreciated it.

We were able to, basically, just update students and faculty on the work that Ian and I have been doing together, as well as separately, within our separate branches. We did have some questions from a few students, as well as some suggestions afterwards from administration. So having feedback is always nice.

In addition to that, we also had Chief Petragallo from the DeKalb PD attend that meeting, and he was able to give some updates to the community about the work that is being done since the community meeting that followed after the incident that occurred on Annie Glidden. So it was nice to hear that there is some progress being made with those demands that were asked. For example, one of those community members asked if there could be a better process of being able to access data when it comes to arrest records – or not records, sorry – when it comes to arrests being made, based on socio-economic class, racial class and etc. And he did say that that program should be up and running by the end of this month. So that was a little bit comforting to hear.

In addition to that, we've also got some events coming up. So our legislative director and our director of governmental affairs are working together on a student rally to be held on October 28. It's just kind of to give students a platform and give them information on how to be civically engaged and how they can do that here in DeKalb, but also take that on to their other platforms as well.

And on November 14, we will also be working with the Center for Black Studies. We're putting on an event concerning gun violence. I believe that that's something that needs to be talked about a little bit more, especially since as a campus we did experience that years ago. So having this

discussion is very important, especially with upcoming elections; and we want to make sure that our students are able to be as informed as possible.

That's all for me. Any questions?

K. Thu: Naomi, this is the first year that you've done this state of affairs for the Student Association, is that right?

N. Bolden: I don't want to say it's the first one. But I will say, if it is, it's the first one in a while.

K. Thu: I think it's a great event and, hopefully, it will get institutionalized and will occur on an annual basis. I appreciate it.

N. Bolden: We plan to actually have one next semester in the spring. So this first one was kind of like trial-and-error to see kind of like a baseline so that where we started and how we can improve on that for the next upcoming one. So everybody will be getting another email from me again in the spring inviting you all, and I encourage you to invite students as well. It's really important for them to know what Ian and I are working with and what we're working on, and just really trying to get students to be engaged with us as much as possible.

K. Thu: Any other questions or comments? Thanks, Naomi. Ian?

I. Pearson: Hello everyone. At the last UC Steering Committee meeting, it came to my attention that our minutes are not actually live in these links. So, if you are interested in reading about us, you currently cannot. So I'm working through finding a better landing page for our minutes to be posted so they can be added to the UC agenda.

In addition to that, I've been working a lot with all of our shared governance partners on this reimagining of shared governance. I've met with Dr. Thu a number of times, met with other shared governance leaders to discuss the types of changes that could be made, and how student representation would best look in any potential scenario. And that work is ongoing, and I think Dr. Thu will be talking more about that today. But I'm really excited to be a part of this process as we look at this.

Additionally, or really lastly, this is mid-term time of the semester, as I'm sure all of you are aware. And I wanted to take a second to remind you that students might be seeking out mental health resources, because of all the semester activities going on. We're heading into a very busy and potentially emotional time of the year. And so I wanted to take a quick second to highlight that there are four mental health resources on campus, the Counseling and Consultation Services in Campus Life Building, Couple and Family Therapy Clinic, the Psychology Services Center and Community Counseling Training Center. It has been a commitment of the SA over the last several years to highlight mental health to reduce the stigma of seeking out mental health resources. And so I would encourage all of you to learn these resources and have them available if anyone comes to you, or take advantage of them yourself if need be.

Beyond that, we have 22 of 40 senate seats filled. I need 18 students to join the senate. So, if there

are any students that you work with, that you know of that would be interested in serving the student body, please direct them to me. My email – I don't think it's in here – but it's ipearson1@niu.edu. And if you have questions, you can, of course, reach out to Dr. Thu; he can direct you to me as well. We're really looking to build a senate that is representative of all the different student groups, all the different constituencies on campus so that, when we come to settings like this, we are bringing you the full picture of student life.

So, with that, that's about it. I will yield to any questions.

K. Thu: Well before you step down, I want to embarrass you again. In the house today is NIU's new Lincoln Laureate, and he just happens to be standing up in front of the podium. So congratulations, Ian. [applause]

I. Pearson: Thank you.

H. Operating Staff Council – Jeffry Royce, President – report

K. Thu: Next we have a report from the Operating Staff Council. Jeffry, where are you?

J. Royce: I'm here, Kendall, thank you. There was nothing earth shattering at our last meeting. We did meet on October 3, and we enjoyed a positive visit from President Freeman and Dr. Streb. One of the main topics we discussed, rather that Dr. Freeman commented on, was a need to shift the campus mindset from how to do more with less to how to do less with less. And I think I speak for everyone here, when I say that it was a very welcome ideal. And as far as operating staff go, I think we are eager to await the day when that mindset actually works its way down to us. Hopefully, that will not be within a great number of years.

But I also reported on the results of a survey I sent out to the council members to decide on what our main goals will be moving forward. We have 17 council members and a few alternates. So out of about 20 votes, job classifications and desk audit concerns came in number one, which is, again, not a surprise. But tied with that is an equity gap, or equity gap concerns and the use of the words, "internal equity," on campus. And then also enrollment, recruitment and retention. So I was pleased to see that appear as one of the top concerns for the Operating Staff Council.

I continue to collaborate with my colleagues, Kendall, Cathy, Ian, Naomi and all the other shared governance leaders. And although this is my first year as president, I'm very encouraged to see so much more student involvement in shared governance this year. I've been on the OSC for four years, and this is the first time we've ever had a regular student attendance at our council meetings. And I've been seeing it in some of the other committees I'm at on campus as well, so that's very encouraging. I want to thank Kendall for his personal efforts to gather data amongst the other shared governance bodies so we can collaborate in a uniform way. And I'm pleased to say all of our shared governance seats are full at this time. I think we're the only shared governance body to be able to report that.

Thank you.

K. Thu: Thanks, Jeffrey. Any questions or comments for Jeffrey? Thanks for doing a great job with OSC. I've appreciated attending your meetings and learning a lot, things that I certainly didn't know before, even though I've been here for 20 years.

I. Supportive Professional Staff Council – Catherine Doederlein, President – no report

K. Thu: Cathy Doederlein is not here today, so we have no report from the Supportive Professional Staff Council

IX. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Update: Rethinking Faculty Senate and Shared Governance

K. Thu: That moves us to Roman numeral IX, a standing item under Unfinished Business, a rethinking or, as Ian would say, a reimagining shared governance at NIU. At the last Faculty Senate meeting, I made a presentation that was basically benchmarking our shared governance structure and processes compared to shared governance at our sister institutions in Illinois. And talked about how we compared to other structures. I also shared some information from my conversations with my counterparts, as well as a statewide meeting of Faculty Senate leaders that occurred last week, I believe it was last week. That presentation is in your packet. It's a PowerPoint presentation. I'm not going to go through it here. I did ask for a resolution from Faculty Senate to see whether the concept that was being presented in that PowerPoint was the direction that they wanted to go. Faculty Senate voted overwhelmingly to move in that direction. So what I've been working on, I won't say tediously, but close to tediously, is crafting draft language changes for our constitution and bylaws that would operationalize those concept changes. So I'm very pleased – and I've had a lot of help from Pat – I couldn't have gotten this far without Pat's help. So I'm very pleased that this morning I sent the entire draft – I think it's 170, 180 pages – to our colleague, Richard Siegesmund, who is the chair of the Rules, Governance and Elections Committee. This is one of two committees that's a joint committee between University Council and Faculty Senate. I handed it off to him for his committee to vet the proposed changes that I've put together. And then he and I met this morning. So, Richard, do you want to say anything about all the fun you're going to have ahead?

R. Sigismund: We do have a meeting of the committee scheduled for November 20. So, we have about 30 days to go through those 170 pages. And those members of the committee can expect to get that toward the end of the week. We still have to package them in a way that you can actually digest them. And so next time, there should be a report in terms of what we've done as a committee to begin the process of shared governance of looking at those changes. And they involve changes to the Constitution, the NIU Bylaws, and the Faculty Senate Bylaws. So there are three areas where changes would have to be made to move in this direction.

K. Thu: Thank you, Richard, for agreeing to take this on. This is very important for the university going forward, and it's very important for the health of our shared governance system as well. Just as a reminder, the general process here is for the Faculty Senate to deliberate. Then if they get to a point where they've reached an agreement moving forward, then it would be sent to University Council for consideration, and ultimately a possible vote by University Council. So I expect that to happen some time next spring, or the spring semester. And hopefully, if we can get it all completed

by the end of this fiscal year, that would be the goal. But we'll see. You know how these things might go or might not go. So, any questions about any of that that I talked about, or any questions for Richard? Okay, thank you.

X. NEW BUSINESS

- A. Proposed amendment to NIU Bylaws 15.9.1 Committee for Academic Equity and Inclusive Excellence – Composition
FIRST READING
Vernese Edghill-Walden, Chief Diversity Officer

K. Thu: So, under New Business, Vernese, are you here? We have two items, or three items under New Business. The first is a proposed amendment to NIU Bylaws 15.9.1. It's the Committee for Academic Equity and Inclusive Excellence. The proposed amendment is a change to the composition on page 32. And I'm going to turn it over to Vernese to walk us through it. It's not very complicated.

V. Edghill-Walden: No, it's not. Good afternoon, everyone. I'm Vernese Edghill-Walden, chief diversity officer. When I came to NIU, this committee was called the Committee on Multicultural Curriculum Transformation. A few years ago, we changed the name, because we shifted the focus from the multicultural curriculum to really focusing in on academic equity. We looked at involving more students of color in undergraduate research, as well as improving our academic achievement. And we also took on the task of working on human diversity, establishing a university-wide human diversity requirement.

This committee continues to do the work of equity. However, the make-up of the group when we changed the name and reformulated the committee, we added a faculty equity lead from the college, or an equity team leader from the college, in addition to a faculty rep. And what we've realized in this work is that they are one and the same. So, rather than having a redundant position, we are making the recommendation that we delete the one equity team leader from the degree-granting college, which would be a voting member, because that person already exists as the faculty member from the college.

And then the second amendment, because we are working so closely with the Office of Student Engagement and Experiential Learning on our re-grant, which is research on equity and academic diversity, which does allow for faculty to have mini-grants to work with students on diversity research projects, we thought it would be important to add a member of the Office of Student Engagement and Experiential Learning, aka the OSEEL Office. So they would be a standing member of the committee.

Those are the two changes.

K. Thu: Real good. So, this is a first reading. There is no vote, but it's certainly an opportunity to ask any questions of Vernese if you have them. I think this is a pretty straight forward change, but questions? Okay. So next time we will actually vote on it. Thank you, Vernese.

V. Edghill-Walden: Thank you.

- B. Proposed amendment to NIU Bylaws 15.6.4.2 Committee for the Improvement of the Undergraduate Academic Experience – Duties
FIRST READING
Ed Klonoski, Associate Vice Provost, Undergraduate Curriculum

K. Thu: The next item in New Business is a proposed amendment to NIU Bylaws 15.6.4.2, Committee for the Improvement of the Undergraduate Academic Experience. It's on page 33 of your packet. Again, I think this is a very straight forward change, and I'm going to ask Ed Klonoski – are you here – to just say a few words about the proposed change.

E. Klonoski: Good afternoon. Recently, what we think to be an error came to light in that the CIUAE, the Committee on the Improvement of the Undergraduate Academic Experience, is one of the standing committees of the Baccalaureate Council, along with the General Education Committee and the Honors Committee, all of which, including the CIUAE, are to report their activities to the Baccalaureate Council. And the error we discovered is that under 15.6.4.2, Duties, letter a, it says, and to recommend to the University Council its activities, etc. And that's the error part of it. It should report directly to the Baccalaureate Council, who ultimately reports its work to this body.

K. Thu: So there's really no explanation for how this happened. I think it was probably just a typo error.

E. Klonoski: I think they're both councils and somebody missed a university, and that's what happened.

K. Thu: Again, this is a first reading item. It doesn't require a vote. But if you have any questions or concerns for Ed? Okay, real good. Thanks, Ed. So we'll vote on that the next meeting.

- C. Approval of Proposed University Holidays for 2020

K. Thu: The last item is item C, Approval of Proposed University Holidays for 2020, that is the calendar year 2020. That's on page 34, which is the last page, the back half of the last page. And if you want to take a quick scan through those. If you see any issues, by all means, bring them up. Last year, we did have an issue, right? I think Cathy Doederlein caught a little problem. So it's not always the case that we're completely sure that these are right. So please take a look. Again, this is a first reading item.

B. Ingram: [inaudible]

K. Thu: Oh, we're going to approve this? Okay. That's right, it's not a bylaw change. We'll entertain a motion to approve first.

Unidentified: So moved.

K. Thu: Second?

Unidentified: Second.

K. Thu: Okay, discussion, questions, concerns? Do you want to have holidays? If none, then we'll just do a voice vote. All those in favor, indicate by saying aye.

Members Aye.

K. Thu: All those that don't want a holiday next year, say aye. No, any nays or abstentions? Okay, thank you.

XI. INFORMATION ITEMS

K. Thu: Well this is going to be a world record for the University Council meeting. They won't all be this short. Before we get into a motion to adjourn, I want to take a straw poll. How many of you would like to see us explore meeting space other than this room, 315. And one of the concerns that I've heard, and I share this myself, is that the physical layout of this room is really not conducive to shared governance. When I think of shared governance as a meeting space, it's a round table where everybody sits around the table and you're not at a podium or in a chair. And you're not facing us. We're all facing each other. So I don't know where that space would be. Pat would help me figure out if it's possible. But just the concept of moving to another space, how many of you would be in favor of that? [show of hands] Well, let's get this into the record. If you could raise your hand again, just so I know. One, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18. So, all right, so now we know.

Unidentified: Could we arrange the chairs in here?

K. Thu: Anything's possible. Who would we ask to do that, or to explore that possibility? Okay. I mean we have a good sound system in this room, that's one of the advantages here.

O. Chmaissem: [inaudible] and we don't have access to microphones. It's not very [inaudible].

K. Thu: Although, I will say this microphone is a remote one, so you can take it out and pass it around.

O. Chmaissem: [inaudible]

K. Thu: Just this sort of face-to-face, like a classroom setting, that seems to me a little bit out of step with the concept of shared governance. Other comments or concerns? Okay, go ahead.

J. Wilson: It might be nice not to have to twist your neck.

K. Thu: Yes, there is that, and plus the podium is kind of in the way for certain folks as well. Okay, well we'll do a little exploration. If we can come up with options that you might want to consider, we'll present them at another UC meeting.

With that, are there any other comments or questions?

- A. [Policy Library](#) – Comment on Proposed Policies (right-hand column on web page)
- B. [Minutes](#), Academic Planning Council
- C. [Minutes](#), Athletic Board
- D. [Minutes](#), Baccalaureate Council
- E. [Minutes](#), Board of Trustees
- F. [Minutes](#), Campus Security and Environmental Quality Committee
- G. [Minutes](#), Comm. on the Improvement of the Undergraduate Academic Experience
- H. [Minutes](#), General Education Committee
- I. [Minutes](#), Graduate Council
- J. [Minutes](#), Graduate Council Curriculum Committee
- K. [Minutes](#), Honors Committee
- L. [Minutes](#), Operating Staff Council
- M. [Minutes](#), Student Senate
- N. [Minutes](#), Supportive Professional Staff Council
- O. [Minutes](#), University Assessment Panel
- P. [Minutes](#), University Benefits Committee
- Q. [Minutes](#), Univ. Comm. on Advanced and Nonteaching Educator License Programs
- R. [Minutes](#), University Committee on Initial Educator Licensure

- S. 2019-20 Faculty Senate meeting dates:
Sep 4, Oct 2, Oct 30, Nov 20, Jan 22, Feb 19, Mar 25, Apr 22

- T. 2019-20 University Council meeting dates:
Sep 11, Oct 16, Nov 6, Dec 4, Jan 29, Feb 26, Apr 1, Apr 29

XII. ADJOURNMENT

K. Thu: Entertain a motion to adjourn?

Unidentified: So moved.

K. Thu: Is there a second? All those in favor?

Members: Aye.

K. Thu: Thanks. Have a good evening, everybody.

Meeting adjourned at 3:32 p.m.