February 1, 2017

Dear Colleagues,

NIU is committed to freedom of expression, ensuring that all members of the university community have the broad latitude to speak, write, listen, challenge and learn. In addition, NIU strives to ensure that all state employees are familiar with the rules of ethical conduct.

During the fall semester, at the request of individuals who were interested in advocating for passage of state budget, Faculty Senate President Greg Long shared a document outlining lobbying restrictions and individual rights. Over the course of the past week, additional concerns have been expressed regarding issues that can arise when NIU employees want to participate in government policy advocacy and political activities, sometimes while at work and using work resources. To address these questions, NIU Ethics Officer Jim Guagliardo has prepared a memo that includes additional guidance regarding the use of University email for University employee advocacy. Both of these documents are attached. We hope that you find them to be useful.

As always, NIU employees who are unsure of their responsibilities regarding existing or anticipated actions are encouraged to contact the Ethics Officer with specific questions.

Thank you,

Douglas Baker, President
Lisa Freeman, Executive Vice President and Provost
Greg Long, Executive Secretary University Council and Faculty Senate President

Office of the President, Northern Illinois University
From: James G. Guagliardo, University Ethics Officer

To: NIU Faculty and Staff

cc: Douglas Baker, University President
Lisa C. Freeman, Executive Vice President and Provost
Greg Long, Executive Secretary University Council and Faculty Senate President

Date: February 1, 2017

Re: Guidelines for Policy Advocacy by University Employees

I. Introduction

The purpose of this memorandum is to address issues that can arise when Northern Illinois University (NIU) employees want to participate in government policy advocacy and political activities, sometimes while at work and using work resources. There are various Constitutional provisions, statutes, and regulations that govern state employees in these circumstances. These legal provisions, and their requirements, are analyzed below. Whereas this memorandum sets forth general parameters, it is not tailored for every potential specific situation. That said, the document contains useful guidance, including a workable three-part test that could apply to the use of University email for University employee advocacy.

II. University Employee Advocating For or Against Government Policy or Action

A. Employee Using Own Time and Resources

State University employees have a First Amendment right to advocate for or against local, state, or federal government action (e.g., legislation, executive orders, proposed agency regulations, actions of elected officials). However, unless a University employee has specific permission to represent otherwise, he or she cannot represent that they are advocating on behalf of the University. In fact, in cases where NIU employees identify themselves as such, they should make clear that they are advocating on their own behalf, and not on behalf of the University.

The NIU Law (110 ILCS 685/30-160) contains a provision titled, “Faculty and Staff Contact with Public Official,” which reads as follows:

All faculty and staff members of the University are free to communicate their views on any matter of private or public concern to any member of the legislative, executive, or judicial branch of government, State or federal, without notice to or
prior approval of the University, so long as they do not represent that they are speaking for or on behalf of the University.

Likewise, the Regulations of the Board of Trustees, Section VII., “Other Operational Affairs,” Subsection A., “Legislative Affairs (Governmental Relations)” states:

1. Policy
   In furtherance of its role as the governance body for NIU, the Board of Trustees is desirous of participating in those legislative matters affecting the university and Illinois higher education.

   a. Review
      Proposed or pending legislation shall be reviewed by the president and the president’s staff.

   b. Representation
      No person shall take any position on behalf of the Board of Trustees in any legislation proposed or pending in the General Assembly without prior authorization of the Board of Trustees or the president.

In short, NIU employees are free to contact government officials and government entities regarding government policy and action. However, they cannot represent that they are acting on behalf of the University unless they are otherwise authorized to do so. If they hold themselves out as NIU employees, they should make clear that they are speaking on their own behalf, and not the University’s.

III. Employee Use of University Resources to Advocate For or Against Government Policy or Action

An issue can sometimes arise as to whether University faculty and staff are allowed to use University resources -- email, time, departmental or University letterhead, computer, copy machines, ink – in advocating for or against government policy or action.

Article VIII of the Illinois Constitution (Finance), Section 1. (a) states:

   Public funds, property or credit shall be used only for public purposes.

A) The University as an Entity

The Board of Trustees, and by delegation, the University Administration, clearly have a right to commit public University resources to advocate for governmental actions and policies that the Board and Administration believe to be in furtherance of the University’s mission and goals. In such cases, the University can encourage University employees to join with the University’s
advocacy, and to use University resources in doing so. For instance, if the Board and the Administration are advocating for or against proposed legislation, they can encourage employees to write or call lawmakers using University time and resources (computers, phones, email, etc.) to advocate for or against that legislation as well.

B) Individual Employees

Despite what appears to be strict language of the Illinois Constitution, Article 8, Section 1, state agencies have a practice of allowing de minimis use of public resources for personal use with agency permission (for example, our Acceptable Use Policy at NIU allows such de minimis use). Recently, questions have been have raised about whether or not it would be appropriate for faculty members to sign an advocacy statement with their university email in order to demonstrate employment in higher education. In fact, this use of university email would be acceptable so long as it’s part of de minimis use of resources, and it is clear that these faculty members are stating their personal position on an executive, legislative or other government policy or action, and not an official position of the University.

Thus, in the context of employee speech, it is up to the University Administration to determine whether it is acceptable for University employees to use de minimis University resources to express their opinions on current or proposed laws, regulations, or government actions. The NIU Administration has determined that such communication is acceptable when the use of resources such as email and time is minimal, and the following conditions are met:

1. The communication should somehow be related to the employee’s University work or the mission of the University.

2. University employees should indicate that they are advocating on their own behalf and not on behalf of the University.

3. Government advocacy activities using University resources should remain de minimis – that is, kept to a minimum level and not otherwise have a detrimental effect on the employees work duties.

IV. University Employee “Political Activity” is Prohibited Using University (State) Time and Resources

The State Officials and Employees Ethics Act ("Ethics Act") sets forth conduct that is considered to be Prohibited Political Activity for state employees. Under the Act:

“Political” means any activity in support of or in connection with any campaign for elective office or any political organization, but does not include activities (i) relating to the support or opposition of any executive, legislative, or administrative action (as those terms are defined in Section 2 of the Lobbyist Registration Act), (ii)
relating to collective bargaining, or (iii) that are otherwise in furtherance of the
person's official State duties or governmental and public service functions.

Political parties are considered to be "political organizations" under the Ethics Act. Typical
examples of Prohibited Political Activity would be:

- Using University work time to hand out flyers on behalf of a candidate for political office
  (either a local, state, or federal office);

- Using University email or University letterhead to encourage others to vote for a
  candidate for political office.

- Using University work phone to secure volunteers to work at Republican, Democrat, or
  any other political party headquarters.

University employees do not forfeit their First Amendment rights while not on worktime. For
instance, an employee can politic on campus as long as he or she is off the clock, and is not
using University resources (paper, letterhead, email, copy machines, etc.). For example, during
uncompensated lunch time, or after a work shift, an employee would be allowed to hand out
flyers on campus on behalf of a candidate for public office.

Finally, employees are obviously free to spend as much time and their own personal resources
as they'd like, working on behalf of a political candidate or a political party, as long as they're
not using state time or state resources.
September 30, 2015

To: NIU Faculty Senate
From: Greg Long, Faculty Senate President

RE: Advocacy and lobbying

During the September 2, 2015 Faculty Senate meeting, questions arose regarding lobbying restrictions and faculty members’ First Amendment Rights to free speech. In response, I asked Jim Guagliardo, NIU Ethics Officer/Special Counsel, and Mike Mann, Associate Vice President, State Government Relations and Board Liaison, for further clarification. A summary of their feedback follows.

**Background:** Article VIII of the Illinois Constitution Section 1(a) states: “Public funds, property or credit shall be used only for public purposes.” In Illinois, this provision is generally cited as the one that prohibits public employees from using university resources and time for personal lobbying. In addition, the Board of Trustees Regulations, Section VII, Subsection A.1.b. states that, “No person shall take any position on behalf of the Board of Trustees in any legislation proposed or pending in the General Assembly without prior authorization of the Board of Trustees or the president.”

**Individual rights:** Individual faculty members may write, phone, or visit legislative offices or government agencies and give their personal opinion on proposed legislation, proposed regulations, pending legislation, pending regulations, or other governmental action. These faculty members may include their job titles at N.I.U. and their expertise in their given area in favoring or opposing proposed legislation or action. Individuals are encouraged or free to contact state officials regarding the University’s need for a state budget and higher education funding.

**Limits:** Individual faculty members should not

- state nor leave the impression that they are speaking on behalf of the University
- use University resources (e.g., email, letterhead, paper, copying)
- use University time (e.g., official office hours, classroom time)

**Exceptions:** Faculty members who want to speak on behalf of the University must determine whether or not the University has a position on an issue. To determine the most effective means of communicating this information and potentially speak for the University, a faculty member should contact Mike Mann from the President’s Office if the issue involves State of Illinois legislation or government action. A Faculty member should contact Anna Quider if the issue involves federal legislation or federal government action.
Resources:
Contact information— IL legislators and representatives

Recommendations: Consider emphasizing...
- current and long-term impact on students
- universities as major economic drivers at the local, statewide, and international level
- issues, not individuals
- civil and scholarly discourse