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Boron/iron-oxide core/shell structured nanoparticles were characterized for application in boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT). BNCT is a cancer treatment method using the ability of boron to absorb thermal neutrons and a proposed drug delivery system utilizing an external magnetic field to direct the boron nanoparticles to targeted cancer sites and to extract the used nanoparticles after treatment. Boron nanoparticles were magnetically functionalized by encapsulating with an iron oxide shell. As such the exact composition, size distribution and oxidation states of the core/shell structures can affect treatment efficacy. Characterization was done by electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) and x-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS) within the scanning transmission electron microscope. Magnetic and additional electronic characterizations of the iron-oxide nanoparticles were performed by Mössbauer spectroscopy, whose results were compared with those of EELS Fe L\textsubscript{23} peak ratio. Both the EELS and Mössbauer spectroscopy results indicated a mixed valence state, indicative of Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, for the iron-oxide nanoparticles. EELS analysis was performed on pure boron nanoparticles to show initial purity and create a spectrum reference for the core/shell structured nanoparticles. XEDS on the boron/iron oxide nanoparticles
indicated the formation of the core/shell structure and EELS revealed the Fe$_3$O$_4$ structure is maintained along with the purity of the boron core.
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B.1 EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with iron (red) and oxygen (blue) shown. The high presence of oxygen indicates a possibility of oxidation within the core.

B.2 EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and iron (red) shown. The iron appears to coat the boron in this mapping indicating the presence of a core/shell structure.

B.3 EDS Mapping of small nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and oxygen (blue) shown. A high presence of oxygen also contained in this mapping indicating possible oxidation.

B.4 EDS Mapping of small nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and iron (red) shown. 5 individual core/shell structures are readily visible from this cluster.

B.5 EDS Mapping of small nanoparticle cluster with iron (red) and oxygen (blue) shown. Oxygen presence surrounding the entirety of the core/shell structure indicating possible oxidation of core.

B.6 EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and oxygen (blue) shown. Non-uniform distribution of boron is readily visible across this nanoparticle cluster.

B.7 EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with iron (red) and oxygen (blue) shown. Non-uniform presence of oxygen in regards to oxygen indicates possible oxidation of core. The iron shell also exhibits a non-uniformity.

B.8 EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and oxygen (blue) shown. Oxygen distribution indicates a localization to the shell of the nanoparticle structure.
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Boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT) is a cancer therapy technique that involves introducing boron-10 to a tumor site then irradiating them with thermal neutrons. The boron-11 undergoes rapid fission of boron-11 atoms into an $\alpha$-particle, Li-7 atom and an emitted $\gamma$-ray described in Equation 1.1 [1].

$$^{10}B + ^1n \rightarrow ^7Li^{3+} + ^4He^{2+} + \gamma + 2.4 MeV \ (1.1)$$

The $\alpha$-particles ($^4He^{2+}$) and Li-7 travel approximately the diameter of a singular cell which defines the localization of cellular destruction. One proposed method of boron delivery to tumorous cells is the use of magnetic nanoparticles (Magnetic Drug Targeting, MDT). Iron oxide nanoparticles have proven to be a viable drug carrier due to their inherent magnetic properties [2]. As such, boron/iron oxide core/shell nanoparticles are an excellent candidate for BNCT [3]. Analysis of these particles is required to ensure compatibility for BNCT as a core/shell structure of pure boron/Fe$_3$O$_4$ below a size of 50nm is desired. Bulk characterization is not entirely encompassing for analyzing these core/shell structures. The use of the scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) allows for localized nanoscale characterization. Within the electron microscope, electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) and x-ray energy dispersive spectroscopy (XEDS) was used to quantitatively and qualitatively determine nanoscale characteristics. Mössbauer spectroscopy, a bulk analytical technique that uses resonantly emitted $\gamma$-rays, can also be used to probe electronic structure to independently confirm results from the electron microscope.
In the following sections, the theory behind the electron-matter interactions occurring in the STEM is reviewed. Along with this, an introduction to the high angular annular dark field imaging (HAADF), EELS and XEDS and their mechanisms are discussed.

1.1 Scattering Theory

The interaction of electrons with matter is the core concept for the imaging and analytical methods in the electron microscope. When the electrons scatter off the sample, two types of scattering can occur: elastic and inelastic. In this section, derivation and important concepts of the scattering process used in the STEM and related spectroscopic techniques are expanded on.

1.1.1 Elastic Scattering

Elastic scattering is due to the Coulomb interaction with the atomic nucleus. Incident electrons that pass near enough to the atomic nuclei are scattered at high angles, referred to as Rutherford scattering [4]. For these Rutherford scattering processes, the differential cross-section can be initially defined as:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(\theta)|^2
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)

where \(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\) is the differential cross-section and \(f(\theta)\) is the scattering amplitude as a function of scattering angle \(\theta\). As the elastic scattering model is the same as Rutherford’s model for alpha particles, the differential cross-section becomes:
\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left( \frac{2\gamma Z}{a_0 q^2} \right)^2
\] (1.3)

\(Z\) is the atomic number, \(\gamma\) is the relativistic factor \((\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2})\), and \(a_0 = .529\ \text{Å}\) is the Bohr radius. The scattering vector, \(q\), is defined in terms of the incident wave vector \((k_0)\) and the scattering angle, such that \(q = 2k_0\sin(\frac{\theta}{2})\). When the differential cross-section is integrated between a minimum and maximum scattering angle \((\theta_{\text{min}}\) and \(\theta_{\text{max}}\)), the total cross-section results in

\[
\sigma_d = (.250\text{barn})FZ^2 \left( \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\theta_{\text{max}}}{2})}{\sin^2(\frac{\theta_{\text{min}}}{2}) - 1} \right)
\] (1.4)

with barn is \(10^{-28}\text{m}^2\), \(F = \frac{1-v^2/c^2}{v^2/c^2}\) This total cross section can be directly related to the signal \((I_d)\) received at high angles when an electron beam \((I)\) passes through the sample with an area density of \(N\) atoms per unit area:

\[
I_d = NI\sigma_d = NI(.250\text{barn})FZ^2 \left( \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\theta_{\text{max}}}{2})}{\sin^2(\frac{\theta_{\text{min}}}{2}) - 1} \right)
\] (1.5)

This relation between the signal received and the cross-section results in the \(Z^2\) correlation that occurs in the high angle annular dark-field detector.

### 1.1.2 Inelastic Scattering

Inelastic scattering occurs when the electron beam interacts electrostatically within the inner and outer shell electrons. This causes a noticeable energy transfer. The resulting inelastic differential scattering cross-section \((\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega})\) can be written in a form similar to that of the elastic scattering differential cross-section modified by an inelastic factor.
\[
\frac{d\sigma_i}{d\Omega} = \frac{4\gamma^2Z k_1}{a_0^2q^4 k_0} |\epsilon_n(q)|^2
\]

(1.6)

Here, \(k_0\) and \(k_1\) are the wave vector magnitudes of the electron before and after the scattering event. The first term is similar to Rutherford scattering when \(Z = 1\) due to the interaction with a single electron. The final term \((|\epsilon_n(q)|^2)\) is a dimensionless inelastic factor property of the target atom. The GOS is the response of the atom when an external source supplies energy and momentum [4]. The GOS is given by

\[
f_n(q) = \frac{E_n |\epsilon_n(q)|^2}{R (qa_0)^2}
\]

(1.7)

where \(R\) is the Rydberg energy (13.6eV) and \(E_n\) is the transition energy change [5]. This inelastic factor can be written in terms of the generalized oscillator strength (GOS) and substituted into the differential cross section, resulting in the form of

\[
\frac{d\sigma_d}{d\omega} = \frac{4\gamma^2R k_1}{E_nq^2 k_0} f_n(q)
\]

(1.8)

Here, \(\frac{k_1}{k_0} \approx 1 - 2E_n(m_0v^2)^{-1}\). This differential cross-section is currently only in terms of varying angle, but not energy dependence. Therefore, the GOS should be defined as a continuous function of \(E\). This gives a double differential cross-section defined by:

\[
\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = \frac{4\gamma^2Rk_1}{E_nq^2 k_0} \frac{df}{dE}(q,E)
\]

(1.9)

Eq. 1.9 still lacks the scattering angle dependence desired. This can be resolved by relating \(q\) to \(\theta\) using the relation \(q^2 \approx k_0^2(\theta^2 + \theta_E^2)\). \(\theta_E\) is the characteristic scattering angle and can be calculated through the following definition:

\[
\theta_E = \frac{E}{(E_0 + m_0c^2)(\frac{L}{c})^2}
\]

(1.10)
This relation when substituted into equation 1.9 gives the final differential cross-section in terms of $\theta$ and energy.

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\Omega dE} = 4\gamma^2 R \frac{1}{E k_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{\theta^2 + \theta_E^2} \right)} \frac{df}{dE}$$

where $k_1/k_0$ is approximately 1, which occurs when energy loss in the incident electron $<< E_0$), and $q^2 \approx k_0^2(\theta^2 + \theta_E^2)$.

### 1.2 Spectroscopy

Spectroscopy is the study of the interaction of matter with electromagnetic radiation [6]. Three different types of spectroscopic techniques were used within this study to analyze nanoparticle samples, electron energy loss spectroscopy, energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy, and Mössbauer spectroscopy. In this section, each spectroscopic technique will be expanded on.

#### 1.2.1 Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy

Electron energy loss spectroscopy is the spectroscopic technique used when electrons are allowed to interact with a sample and the resulting transmitted scattered electrons are analyzed to give an energy spectrum [7]. Depending on the scattering mechanism in the electron shells, the scattering probability varies. Intensity versus energy loss can be plotted as a spectrum. This spectrum contains two regions of interest, the zero and low loss region and the core loss region.
Zero and Low Loss Region. Within the energy loss spectrum, the most intense feature will be the zero loss peak (ZLP) as seen in Figure 1.1. This peak represents the intensity of elastic or unscattered electrons. Also contained in this peak are electrons scattered through phonon interactions, lattice vibrations [8], which result in a $\Delta E$ between 10-100meV. Information contained within the ZLP is the full width at half maximum of the peak which correlates to the energy dispersion of the electron source and energy resolution of the EELS spectrometer [7].

![Figure 1.1: Example zero ($I_0$) and low loss ($I_p$) peaks within the low loss region [10].](image)

The low loss peak occurs between 0-50eV and is often referred to as the plasmon peak. This is due to incident electrons exciting plasmons, collective oscillations of the valence electrons [8]. It is expected that there is at least one intense plasmon peak as plasmon mean free path ($\lambda_p$) is approximately 100nm [7, 10]. Multiple peaks can occur if the thickness ($t$) of the sample is larger than $\lambda_p$. The plasmon peak can be used to make thickness measurements.
if the intensity of the plasmon peak \( I_p \), \( \lambda_p \) and the ZLP intensity \( I_0 \) are also known [10].

Thickness can be calculated using:

\[
t = \lambda_p \left( \frac{I_p}{I_0} \right)
\]  

(1.12)

Other information contained within the plasmon peak is the relationship between the energy of the plasmon peak and the density of valence electrons which can be described with

\[
E_p = \hbar \left( \frac{ne^2}{\epsilon_0 m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]  

(1.13)

where \( E_p \) is the plasmon energy loss, \( \hbar \) is the reduced Planck constant, \( n \) is the free electron density of the material, \( \epsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space, \( e \) is the charge of the electron and \( m \) is the mass of the electron.

**Core Loss Region.** Core loss peaks occur from the interaction of the incident electrons with inner shell electrons. During this interaction, the energy transfer from the incident electrons causes the core electrons to become excited and move to unoccupied energy levels. These peaks appear within the energy spectrum at energy losses >50 eV and are element specific (Figure 1.2).

Each peak or ionization edge is labeled by the original orbital level occupied by the core electron. For example, K edges are the result of excitation of 1s electrons and \( L_1, L_2, \) and \( L_3 \) edges are the result of 2s, \( 2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2} \) excitations respectively [10]. The nomenclature for transitions is shown in Figure 1.3.
Core loss edges can take multiple shapes depending on the edge and the element. K-edges are defined as hydrogenic or "sawtooth" which is a sharp intensity followed by a gradual decay of intensity. Another edge shape is a delayed maximum, approximately 10-20 eV after the ionization threshold, that occurs in $L_{2,3}$ edges in third-period elements [4]. The third edge shape occurs most notable in transition metals and is called "white lines", which are sharp ionization threshold edges. Due to spin-orbit coupling, a split between the $L_2$ and $L_3$ edges with the $L_2$ edge having a higher energy threshold. The ratio of these peaks is based on the relative occupancy of the initial-states and can be used to determine valence states of a transition metal [9].
1.2.2 **Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy**

When the electron beam inelastically interacts with the sample, incident electrons can impart energy and cause core electrons to ionize. This creates a vacancy in the atomic shell that is filled by a higher energy atom. In response to this vacancy, a higher energy electron will fill the hole releasing excess energy. The excess energy can be released as an x-ray with an emission energy being the difference between the initial and final state as shown in Figure 1.4.
Figure 1.4: Atomic model showing the emission of a characteristic x-ray when a higher energy electron fills a vacancy of a lower energy shell. The energy of the x-ray is quantified as the difference of the initial ($\phi_i$) and final shell($\phi_f$): $E_{x\text{-ray}} = \phi_i - \phi_f$ [11].

These x-rays are called characteristic x-rays and because the energy depends on the difference in shell energies, the energies are a monotonic function of $Z$ [10]. This is described by Moseley’s law

$$\lambda = \frac{B}{(Z - C)^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.14)

where $B$ and $C$ are constants related to the transition [11]. Moseley’s law relates atomic number ($Z$) to $\lambda$ which can be directly related to energy through $E = \frac{hc}{\lambda}$, where $h$ is Planck’s constant and $c$ is the speed of light in a vacuum. This gives a final description of $E$ as a function of $Z$ to be:

$$E = \frac{hc(Z - C)^2}{B}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.15)

In order to generate a vacancy, the incident electrons have to impart enough energy to ionize the atom. The energy required is referred to as the binding energy or critical ionization.
energy ($E_c$) [11]. This binding energy is related to binding of the electrons, such that K-shell electrons require more energy to eject than L-shell electrons. It also increases with Z due to the higher amount of protons binding the electrons to the atom [10].

To readily differentiate between the generated x-rays, the characteristic x-rays are labeled corresponding to the state of the vacancy created by the incident electron (K, L, M), with an additional label ($\alpha$ and $\beta$) indicating the initial state of the transitioned electron [10]. For example, a $K_\alpha$ x-ray occurs when an L electron drops into a vacancy in the K-shell while a $K_\beta$ is when an M electron drops into the K-shell. Figure 1.5 shows allowed transitions and the resulting characteristic x-rays [10].

![Figure 1.5: Allowed transitions that result in K, L, and M characteristic x-rays [10].](image-url)
1.2.3 Mössbauer Spectroscopy

Mössbauer spectroscopy, another analytical technique, probes electronic and magnetic properties of the sample using resonantly absorbed $\gamma$-radiation. For iron Mössbauer spectroscopy, an energetic $^{57}$Fe core is used as a source to resonantly emit $\gamma$ radiation into a sample containing iron. The energy of this $\gamma$-ray is approximately $14.4$ keV due to the transition of states from $3/2$ to $1/2$. For the Mössbauer experiment, the source and sample are moved at relative velocities and transmitted $\gamma$-rays are plotted as a function of this velocity [12]. For iron, the Doppler shift is on the order of a few mm/s in order to probe all the possible states of iron within a sample.

Three major parts of the Mössbauer spectrum are of interest when determining the state of iron within the sample. These are the isomer shift, electric quadrupole splitting, and the magnetic hyperfine interaction.

The isomer shift ($\delta$) is the amount of Doppler shift applied to the source in order for the resonant absorption of $\gamma$-rays due to the Coulomb interaction between the nucleus and electrons [13]. This interaction causes a shift in energy levels without altering electron degeneracy, which is compensated for by the Doppler shift of the source (Figure 1.6).

The electric quadrupole interaction stems from the non-spherical charge distribution surrounding the nucleus interacting with an electric field gradient in the nucleus. Two sources are considered to contribute to the field gradient: charges on ions surrounding the Mössbauer atom, called lattice contributions, and anisotropic distribution of electrons in the valence shell of the Mössbauer atom [12]. This causes a splitting in the spectrum between electrons of spin-3/2 and spin-1/2 (Figure 1.6).

Hyperfine splitting occurs when a magnetic field interacts with the magnetic dipole of the electrons, when $S > 0$ as described by the Zeeman effect [14]. For iron, this causes the
s=3/2 to split into states \((m_s = \pm 3/2; \pm 1/2)\) and the \(s=1/2\) to split into two states \((m_s = \pm 1/2)\). Figure 1.6 shows both the magnetic splitting and the resulting transitions due to magnetic hyperfine splitting. These transitions can be seen within the Mössbauer spectrum.

Figure 1.6: Diagram showing the hyperfine parameters and their effect on the Mössbauer spectrum [15].
1.3 Thesis Goals

The goal for this thesis is to analyze valence states of pure iron oxide nanoparticles through EELS and make direct comparisons to Mössbauer spectroscopy within a singular sample set. A boron nanoparticle sample will be analyzed to show the purity of the sample and create an EELS spectrum standard for comparison of the boron/iron oxide nanoparticles. Boron/iron oxide nanoparticles will be analyzed with XEDS to determine a qualitative elemental distribution of the sample. A quantitative analysis using EELS will determine the core/shell structure and valence state of the iron oxide shell. Fe$_3$O$_4$ has been shown to have an L$_3$:L$_2$ ratio of 5 [16, 17]. The iron oxide particles studied in this paper have an intensity ratio corresponding to the literature intensity of Fe$_3$O$_4$. Mössbauer spectrum analysis also indicates a valence structure consistent with Fe$_3$O$_4$ for the analyzed nanoparticles. The analysis of pure particles that composes the core/shell structure allows for more in-depth composition analysis of boron/iron oxide nanoparticles. The analysis of the boron/iron oxide nanoparticles confirmed the presence of the core/shell structure, the purity of the boron core, and the shell valence state as Fe$_3$O$_4$. 
CHAPTER 2
EXPERIMENTAL

2.1 Instrumentation

2.1.1 TEM/STEM

There are three important components within the analytical electron microscope. These are the electron source, the lens system, and the detectors. Electron sources exist in two different varieties, thermionic and field emission. Thermionic sources function by heating a filament, usually made of Lanthanum hexaboride (LaB$_6$) \[10\]. The microscopes used in this study were equipped with field emission guns (FEGs) so that will be the focus of this portion. Field emission guns operate by applying a voltage ($V$) to a fine tip of radius, $r$, which produces a large electric field ($E$) on the tip. This is described by the following equation:

$$E = \frac{V}{r}$$ \hspace{1cm} (2.1)

In order for the FEG to produce an accelerated electron beam, two anodes are placed below it. The first anode provides enough voltage to extract the electrons (called the extraction voltage) and the second accelerates the extracted electrons to the desired voltage.

After the beam is extracted, it progresses through the electron microscope column and needs to be focused. This is accomplished through the use of magnetic lenses, which function as an analog to optical lenses focusing light. The magnetic lens alters the initial path of the
electrons and causes them to move in a helical manner down the column, with a radius described by

\[ r = \frac{2m_0E \left(1 + \frac{E}{2E_0}\right)}{eB} \]

where \( m_0 \) and \( E_0 \) are the rest mass and energy of the electron, \( E \) is the energy of the electron, \( e \) is the charge of the electron and \( B \) is the magnetic field applied to the beam by the magnetic lens [10].

Apertures can be inserted into the lenses to help control different characteristics of the images, such as resolution, contrast and the collection angle of the electrons. These functions as physical barriers that narrows the electron beam. Much like optical lenses, the magnetic lenses are not perfect and contain spherical and chromatic aberrations. Spherical aberrations occur due to the stronger bending effect the lens has on electrons the farther they are from the optical axis. Chromatic aberrations occur due to the inhomogeneity of the electron energy source. These aberrations are a major limitation on the resolution within the TEM [10].

The STEM is equipped with multiple detectors that have the capability to be run in parallel. This capability is shown in Figure 2.1 and is possible due to each detector using different portions of the post-sample beam.

The EELS detector uses small-angle inelastically scattered electron beam and passes it through a magnetic spectrometer. In a similar manner to the magnetic lenses, the magnetic spectrometer bends the electrons according to the Lorentz force law. Slower electrons are bent more because they spend more time within the magnetic field before hitting a charged couple device (CCD) detector. Each pixel on the CCD detector stores charges generated by the electrons which then gets converted into a spectrum and is related to a specific energy value. This relation is what allows for the plotting of intensity vs energy loss used in EELS.
Figure 2.1: Detector setup for analytical electron microscope [18].

The high angle annular dark field (HAADF) detector collects high angle elastically scattered electrons and are used to form Z-contrast images. As described in chapter 1, the elastic scattering in the TEM is proportional to $Z^2$. Because of the $Z^2$ dependence, changes in composition across the sample can be visibly seen within an image.

The XEDS detector equipped on the microscope used in this study is called a silicon drift detector (SDD). The SDD is a series of ringed p-doped silicon implanted on a single crystal of n-doped silicon [10]. The SDD functions similarly to a CCD by using the electrons generated by the x-rays on the silicon to create a signal.

For this study, two TEM/STEM microscopes equipped with a Schottky field emission electron gun operating at 200keV were used: FEI Tecnai F20ST and FEI Talos. The FEI Tecnai was used for the collection of HAADF images, EELS spectrum, and XEDS spectrum. The FEI Talos was used for the collection of HAADF images and high speed, high-resolution XEDS spectrum.
2.1.2 XEDS

As mentioned above, XEDS mapping and spectrum were collected using the FEI Talos equipped with a Super-X, 4SDD XEDS system at an extraction voltage of 200kV at Argonne National Laboratory CNM facility. Along with direct imaging, the FEI Talos allows for elemental mapping of samples. As described in Section 1.2.2, characteristic x-rays are generated and these x-rays are collected to create a spectrum of x-ray count vs. x-ray energy (Figure 2.2). In the STEM, spectra are collected in a raster scan and each spectrum is correlated to a specific x-y position in the image. This can be visualized as a data cube, where points in the XY plane correspond to pixels in an image and the z-axis is the generated spectrum for that pixel (Figure 2.3) [10].

![Example XEDS spectra plotting x-ray count vs. x-ray energy (keV).](image)
Figure 2.3: Visualization of the data cube. In relation to XEDS, the XY plane represents the pixel location with the Z-axis representing the spectrum generated at the specific pixel [10].

Since each pixel in the image has a corresponding spectrum, this information can be used to generate an elemental mapping of the analyzed image as shown in Figure 2.4. Due to a low signal-to-noise ratio for a single pixel’s spectrum and the qualitative XEDS analysis used in this study, XEDS results come from areas of the mapped image plotted as a spectrum rather than viewing single pixel spectrum.
EELS spectrum were collected within the FEI Tecnai F20ST in STEM-EELS mode at 200 keV extraction voltage using a Gatan parallel EELS spectrometer at Argonne National Laboratory CNM facility. For collection of the spectrum, a 70µm C2 aperture was used for a convergence half-angle of 2.5mrad. The acceptance half-angle was 7.7mrad from a 3mm GIF aperture and 100mm camera length.

As part of the analysis for the EELS spectrum, background subtraction was performed on each spectrum by fitting an AE−r fit in a 30eV pre-edge window. An example of this fitting along with the pre- and post-background subtraction plot is shown in Figure 2.5. This EELS spectrum is processed in this manner for each desired edge within a spectrum. The boron nanoparticles will require a single background subtraction to analyze the boron K-edge, while the iron oxide particles will require two background subtractions, one for the oxygen
K-edge and one for the iron L-edge. In this manner, the boron/iron oxide nanoparticles will require three pre-edge background subtractions to individually analyze the boron K-edge, the oxygen K-edge, and the iron L-edges.

Quantification of Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$ is determined by comparing the ratio of integral intensity under the L$_3$ to L$_2$ peaks after background subtraction and fitting an arctan function (Figure 2.6).

The arctan function is shown as the red curve in Figure 2.6 and is defined as follows
\[ f(\Delta E) = \frac{h_1}{\pi}[\arctan(\frac{\pi}{w_1}(\Delta E_1 - E_1)) + \frac{\pi}{2}] + \frac{h_2}{\pi}[\arctan(\frac{\pi}{w_2}(\Delta E_2 - E_2)) + \frac{\pi}{2}] \] (2.3)

where the \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are the heights of the arctan functions at the minima behind the \( L_3 \) and \( L_2 \) peaks, \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are the inflection points widths of \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) of the \( L_3 \) and \( L_2 \) peaks respectively. \( \Delta E_1 \) and \( \Delta E_2 \) are the integration windows set to the full width at half maximum for the \( L_3 \) and \( L_2 \) peaks and can usually be set as \( \Delta E_1 = \Delta E_2 = \Delta E \) [9].

### 2.1.4 Mössbauer Spectroscopy

Mössbauer data collection was done at Northern Illinois University in collaboration with Dr. Dennis Brown. For data collection, the Mössbauer spectrum was initially collected using an iron foil was fit and used as a calibration file for the analysis of the iron oxide nanoparticles (Figure 2.7). \(^{57}\)Co was utilized as the radioactive source to generate the excited \(^{57}\)Fe for Mössbauer spectroscopy analysis. The radioactive source was placed on a Mössbauer drive operating at 11mm/s to generate the Doppler effect needed for resonant absorption. A Mössbauer spectrometer was placed after the sample to collect \( \gamma \)-rays emitted from the sample. For sample preparation, 19.3mg of the iron oxide was mixed with 53.8mg of ground carbon to create an even distribution of iron oxide in the Mössbauer sample. Data for this sample was collected for seven days at a count rate of 1387 counts/sec.
2.2 Samples

The samples described in this section are all part of samples used in the Hosmane research group using synthesis methods, where applicable, to maximize the sample efficiency for the use in BNCT research. Aggregation was a point of concern during the creation of TEM samples. A script utilizing Python code was attempted to calculate the average settling time of a singular nanoparticle, but due to Reynold’s number approximation, the time for a single nanoparticle was undetermined. It was found that nanoparticles behave under Brownian motion until large enough aggregates are formed [19]. To minimize the aggregation of the sample, it was collected in a pipette while the sample was being sonicated.
2.2.1 Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

The iron oxide nanoparticle samples analyzed in this study were synthesized by John Wozny and Nitya Nagarajan of the Hosmane group. The synthesis was done by initially adding Iron(III)- and Iron(II)-chlorides to deionized water then sonicated. Ammonium hydroxide (NH$_4$OH) was then added dropwise to the iron-chloride solution and stirred. The final product was centrifuged, dried then stored under argon.

To prepare the sample for analysis in the STEM, .0114g of iron oxide sample was dispersed in 6.05mL of 95% ethanol/5% deionized water. This solution was sonicated for 30 minutes at 40kHz with 2 drops of solution placed twice on the TEM grid. Upon imaging within the TEM, it was determined that the high solution concentration would interfere with analysis (Figure 2.8). Therefore a lower concentration of the sample was created. The initial concentration of the sample was 1.88x10$^{-3}$ g/mL. 2mL of this solution was added to 4.5 mL of 95% ethanol/5% DI to create a new 6.5mL sample with a lower concentration of 6.23x10$^{-4}$ g/mL. This lower concentration sample was used for the EELS analysis for this study.
2.2.2 Boron Nanoparticles

The boron nanoparticles analyzed in this study were synthesized by Dr. Eyrusalam Bedasso during her doctoral studies at Northern Illinois University. To form the boron nanoparticles, Decaborane (B_{10}H_{14}) vapor underwent a gas phase pyrolysis at 1 atm in argon gas and 700-900 C over six hours [20, 3]. For TEM preparation, .0040g of boron nanoparticle was placed in 12.0mL (concentration of 3.33x10^{-3} \text{ g/mL}) and sonicated at 40kHz for 30 mins. After 30 mins of sonication, the sample was extracted using a pipette and a single drop was placed on a TEM grid and allowed to air-dry.
2.2.3 **Boron/Iron oxide Nanoparticle (Core/Shell Structure)**

The boron/iron oxide nanoparticles analyzed in this study were synthesized and prepared for TEM analysis by Dr. Eryusalem Bedasso of the Hosmane group during her doctoral studies at Northern Illinois University. The core/shell sample was formed using co-precipitation of FeCl$_3$·6H$_2$O and FeCl$_2$·4H$_2$O with ammonium hydroxide (NH$_4$OH) in the presence of the boron nanoparticle to create an iron-oxide shell. The sample was dispersed in ethanol and sonicated at 60% amplitude for two minutes. One drop of the sonicated sample was placed on a TEM grid and left to dry. This was repeated two additional times [3].
CHAPTER 3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Analysis of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles

Images of the iron oxide sample were taken to determine an average size of the iron oxide nanoparticles (Figure 3.1). The nanoparticles show an aggregation into clusters which occurs during the preparation of TEM samples. This aggregation will also be affected by the magnetic properties of the nanoparticles. Particle size ranged from 9 to 18 nm with an average of approximately 12 nanometers.

![HAADF image of pure iron oxide nanoparticle cluster.](image)

Figure 3.1: HAADF image of pure iron oxide nanoparticle cluster.

Two spectroscopy techniques were used to analyze the composition of the iron oxide nanoparticle sample. EELS and Mössbauer analysis was conducted on the same sample of
synthesized iron oxide nanoparticles in order to independently determine the valence state and composition of the sample.

3.1.1 EELS

In the molecular orbit frame, Fe₃O₄, the iron d orbital hybridizes with the oxygen p orbital. This allows electron transitions to this hybridized state, specifically the oxygen 1s to 2p and iron 2p to 3d. Both of these transitions reflect the density of the d orbital in the iron [9, 21]. This results in the oxygen K-edge and iron L₂,3 edge in the EELS spectrum, which is detailed in Figure 3.2.

Figure 3.2: Hybridization of oxygen s-orbital and iron p-orbitals.
EELS spectra were collected from multiple nanoparticle clusters. Background subtraction was performed on each spectrum by fitting a function of the form $AE^{-r}$ in a 30 eV window before the peak onset. These spectra were plotted and are shown in Figure 3.3. As stated above, the $L_3$ and $L_2$ edges correlate to $2p^{3/2}$ and $2p^{1/2}$ respectively. The $L_3$ maximum has been shifted to 709.5 eV to compensate for a spectrum shift that occurs within the EELS spectrometer [9]. The shifting of the peak maximum removes the ability to measure chemical shifts as described in Van Aken et.al [9]. Qualitatively, the Fe-$L_{2,3}$ spectrum have a similar shape which indicates consistency across the sample.

![Figure 3.3: EELS spectrum of Iron L-edges collected from iron oxide sample. Each spectrum is labeled for reference.](image)

The $L_3/L_2$ intensity ratio was calculated after fitting each spectrum to the arctan function Eq. 2.3 with a 3 eV window. These values are tabulated in Table 3.1. The calculated ratios range from 4.7 to 5.4 with an average of 5.0. Within the calculated error, the $L_3/L_2$ intensity
ratio has a high agreement with literature [16, 17] that provides an iron oxide structure indicative of Fe$_3$O$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrum</th>
<th>$L_3/L_2$ Intensity Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(7)</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(8)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(9)</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average $L_3/L_2$</td>
<td>5.1 ± .2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavé [16]</td>
<td>5.00 ± .09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1: Calculated $L_3/L_2$ intensity ratios for the iron oxide nanoparticle sample.

In conjunction with the L-edge analysis, oxygen K-edges were analyzed and compared to the literature (Figure 3.4). The analyzed oxygen spectra were used to create a basis for comparison to the core/shell structure oxygen K-edge analyzed later. Within the oxygen K-edge, there is a definitive intense prepeak at the peak onset, which occurs due to the hybridization of oxygen 1s and iron 2p orbitals as seen above in Figure 3.2. In comparison to literature, the oxygen K-edges for the analyzed sample show a strong similarity to that of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ oxygen K-edge found in the literature [21]. There is a large noise signal in the analyzed oxygen K-edge, therefore a higher energy resolution and signal to noise ratio is needed to conclusively determine the valence structure of the iron oxide from the oxygen K-edge.

It was determined from the EELS analysis of the Fe-L$_{2,3}$ and O-K edges that the valence state of the iron oxide nanoparticles strongly correlates to that of Fe$_3$O$_4$ but further analysis is needed to conclusively indicate this structure.
Figure 3.4: Left: Oxygen K-edge of analyzed iron oxide nanoparticles. Right: Oxygen K-edge for different transition metal oxides [21]
3.1.2 Mössbauer Analysis

Along with EELS analysis, Mössbauer spectroscopy analysis was conducted on the same sample of iron oxide. The 3-site fit corresponds to an A-site containing $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ and a B-site which contains both $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ and $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ within $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$. These sites represent the location of iron within the crystallography as seen in Figure 3.5.

The spectrum with the 3-site fit is detailed in Figure 3.6. The magnetic fields were determined to be 46.9 T and 39.7 T for the ferromagnetic A and B sites respectively, which has been tabulated in Table 3.2.

Site 2 is a paramagnetic iron state. Its isomer shift indicates that its valence state is $\text{Fe}^{3+}$. Due to a fitting for $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ and $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ within the sample, this is an indicator that the iron oxide sample is most likely $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$. $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ is the only common structure that contains both $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ and $\text{Fe}^{2+}$.

Figure 3.5: Overview of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ A and B sites within the crystal structure [22].
Figure 3.6: Mössbauer spectrum of Fe₃O₄ showing 3-site fit. Site 1 (red) corresponds to the Fe³⁺ within the A-site. Site 3 (blue) corresponds to the Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ B-site and Site 2 (green) is Fe³⁺ in a nonmagnetic state.

The broadening of the spectrum may be due to superparamagnetic relaxation effects which occur in particle sizes on the order of 15nm. The analyzed sample has a distribution of particle sizes which will cause additional broadening in the spectral lines. Site 2, therefore, may arise due to relaxation effects of particles with sizes much smaller than 15nm. When particle size reaches approximately 5nm, the Mössbauer spectrum will collapse to a quadrupole doublet (Figure 3.7).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mössbauer Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A site (Red)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B site (Green)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B site (Blue)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Summary of Mössbauer Analysis showing the magnetic fields and isomer shifts of each site fit.
3.1.3 Summary

Iron oxide was analyzed using two different types of spectroscopic techniques. Within the calculated error, EELS analysis determines that the structure of the iron oxide is Fe$_3$O$_4$. Independently of this analysis, Mössbauer spectroscopy was also used to analyze the structure of the iron oxide sample. A Fe$^{3+}$ and a Fe$^{2+}$ site were determined which leads to the determination that the structure should be Fe$_3$O$_4$. The results from the Mössbauer analysis combined with the results from the EELS analysis show the iron oxide sample analyzed is the Fe$_3$O$_4$ structure.
3.2 Analysis of Boron/Iron oxide Nanoparticle

3.2.1 Pure Boron Nanoparticle

An important part of BNCT is the quantity of neutron capturing boron that is exposed to the tumorous cells. Because of this, it is imperative that the boron contained in the core/shell structure is not oxidized during synthesis. To show the purity in the core/shell structures, boron nanoparticles were first imaged using the HAADF detector. The nanoparticle size ranged between 17nm and 25nm with an average of 21nm. The boron was then analyzed by EELS to construct an analytical standard for spectrum comparison. Characteristically, the boron K-edge onset appears at 188eV and pure boron will lack a strong prepeak that indicates orbital hybridization.

Figure 3.8: HAADF image of a boron nanoparticle cluster.

Figure 3.9 shows the boron K-edge collected from the boron nanoparticle sample in comparison to a pure boron and boron oxide (B$_2$O$_3$) EELS spectrum [23]. Noticeable in this
spectrum is the peak onset occurring at 188eV and the absence of an intense hybridization prepeak. Both sets of spectra are focused on the boron K-edge which shows the initial peak onset at 188eV, but the difference comes in the lack of intense peak that is apparent in the B$_2$O$_3$ spectrum. This confirms the unoxidized state of the boron nanoparticles to be used in the synthesis of core/shell nanoparticles. This also gives a standard for the boron K-edge which will be used in the analysis of the core/shell structure.

Figure 3.9: (Left) EELS spectra of analyzed boron nanoparticles. (Right) Analyzed boron K-edges from pure boron (a), B$_2$O$_3$ (b), and t-Ni$_2$B (c) [23].

### 3.2.2 Core/Shell Structure

The analysis of the core/shell structure involved the initial imaging of the sample prepared on the TEM grid. HAADF images were taken during the collection of XEDS and EELS spectra. Figure 3.10 shows a HAADF image taken during the collection of EELS spectra using the FEI Tecnai F20ST. The image was used to make measurements of individual nanoparticles, which ranged from 22nm to 36nm and averaged approximately 29nm. These
particles were analyzed using XEDS to create a qualitative elemental distribution map and EELS to confirm the state of boron and iron oxide within the core/shell structure.

Figure 3.10: HAADF image of boron/iron nanoparticle cluster hanging at the edge of the carbon film.

3.2.2.1 XEDS

Qualitative XEDS mapping was initially performed on the core/shell structure to make an initial approximation of the structure of the boron/iron oxide nanoparticles. The mappings suggest that the iron oxide forms on an outer layer of the boron core as intended. A number of mappings are provided in this section with additional mappings included in Appendix B. Figure 3.11 shows the mapping of a core/shell nanoparticle cluster indicating the presence and general location of iron (red), boron (green), and oxygen (blue).

Figure 3.12 shows the same nanoparticle cluster with the iron and boron visible. This mapping offers a better view of the location of the iron around the boron. Since oxidation
of the boron core is a concern for usage in BNCT, it is important to make a determination of the location of oxygen in relation to the boron and iron. Figure 3.13 shows the general location of oxygen within the nanoparticle cluster with iron as a reference. The oxygen has a similar structural pattern as the iron and appears to coat the boron. This creates an initial indicator that the oxygen is present in conjunction with the iron as a form of iron oxide.

Figure 3.11: XEDS mapping of boron/iron oxide nanoparticle cluster showing the presence of boron, iron, and oxygen.

Figure 3.12: XEDS Mapping of iron and boron in the core/shell nanoparticle structure.
While oxygen exhibits a structural pattern similar to the iron, a large presence of oxygen was found within a nanocluster which indicates a possibility of oxidation in the boron core (Figure 3.14). EELS analysis must be used to confirm the composition of the nanoparticle core.

The large presence of oxygen indicates possible oxidation of the boron core.
Figure 3.15 shows another nanoparticle cluster mapped by XEDS. This cluster shows an inconsistency in the amount of boron coated by the iron oxide shell. The left portion of the cluster shows a lower concentration of boron coated by the iron when compared to the right portion of the nanoparticle cluster. This cluster also contains an area extremely rich with iron.

Area A and B represent a typical boron/iron oxide area and the iron-rich area, respectively. The composite spectra of these areas were compared, as shown in Figure 3.16. As expected, area A contains a higher quantity of boron compared to iron. Area B has minimal boron with a much higher concentration of boron. Both spectra have a comparable amount of carbon, copper, and silicon which can be contributed from the TEM grids and silicon XEDS detectors.
3.2.2.2 EELS

As with the iron oxide and boron nanoparticles, the core/shell nanoparticles were analyzed using EELS to confirm the valence state of the iron oxide shell and the bonding nature of the boron core. Figure 3.17 shows an EELS spectrum collected from the core/shell nanoparticle sample. Elements found within the spectrum are boron, carbon, oxygen, and iron. This corresponds to the composition results found in the qualitative mapping done by XEDS.

The core/shell boron K-edge has a similar structure to the boron nanoparticle sample indicating the boron remains unoxidized beyond detection limits. Another indicator that the boron is unoxidized is the shape of the oxygen peak. The oxygen K-edge has an intense
prepeak that indicates hybridization but it lacks any noticeable deformation from the oxygen K-edge in the iron oxide sample. We would expect a variation in the peak shape should bonding occurring due to the hybridization of between the oxygen and boron.

Figure 3.17: EELS spectrum of core/shell structure with labeled edges. Insert: Oxygen K-edge and Iron L-edges

An L\textsubscript{3}/L\textsubscript{2} analysis was also performed on the core/shell structure to verify the iron oxide maintains its structure as Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}. An average intensity ratio for the core/shell was determined to be 4.9 ± .2. Within the calculated error, the L\textsubscript{3}/L\textsubscript{2} suggests that the iron oxide shell maintains its structure as Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} during the synthesis process of the core/shell structure.
3.2.3 Summary

In this section, boron nanoparticles were analyzed to create a standard for an unoxidized boron edge to be used in comparison in the core/shell analysis. The analysis of the core/shell structure involved the elemental mapping through XEDS to show that the iron oxide nanoparticles coat the boron to create the desired core/shell structure. After confirming the existence of the core/shell structure, an EELS analysis was completed to compare the boron K-edge, oxygen K-edge, and iron L-edge of the core/shell structure to the results of the previously analyzed pure nanoparticles. It was determined that the boron core remains unoxidized after the synthesis of the core/shell nanoparticle by comparison to the boron K-edge and oxygen K-edge. An $L_3/L_2$ calculation was conducted on the iron L-edge of the core/shell structure which resulted in an intensity ratio comparable to $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$. This allowed for the determination that the iron oxide shell structure remains $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$. 
CHAPTER 4

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Pure iron oxide and boron nanoparticles were analyzed to confirm both the composition of the nanoparticles and to create a comparison standard for the analysis of core/shell structured nanoparticles to be used in BNCT. The iron oxide sample was determined to be Fe$_3$O$_4$ through EELS analysis and confirmed by Mössbauer analysis, which independently showed an initial fit for multiple sites that mostly agree to that found in Fe$_3$O$_4$ [17]. The boron nanoparticles were shown that in the worst case scenario, oxidation occurs at a level that is undetectable through EELS analysis. Through the use of XEDS mapping, it was shown that the boron/iron-oxide nanoparticles consistently showed a shell of iron oxide surrounding a boron core, which was also confirmed during the EELS analysis. EELS analysis on the core/shell nanoparticles also showed that the bulk of boron particles within the core/shell structure appears to have remained as pure boron without oxidizing. In addition, an L$_3$/L$_2$ EELS intensity ratio was then performed and proved to be consistent with Fe$_3$O$_4$ that is also found in literature [16, 17].

The results of this study will lead to a continued analysis of boron/iron oxide core/shell structures using both EELS and Mössbauer as the synthesis process is adjusted to produce a more uniform distribution of size and composition. Magnetic susceptibility measurements will allow more information on the magnetic states of the iron oxide. A more in-depth study of the interface between the core/shell is required as that information will help to reveal structural information between the boron and iron oxide. As the particles become smaller, a more careful study in the magnetic properties may be required due to the superparamagnetic property that Fe$_3$O$_4$ obtains below 10 nm in diameter.
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APPENDIX A

SOFTWARE
Detailed in this appendix is the software used for analysis in this study and a brief discussion on the specific usage.

ImageJ/Cornell Spectrum Imager (CSI) is an open source tool for ImageJ was used to analyze images and EELS spectrum collected during this study[24]. This tool was used for nanoparticle measurements in HAADF images and background subtractions of EELS spectrum.

Gatan Microscopy Suite (GMS) is a software developed by Gatan for processing of spectrum collected in the microscope. In conjunction with open source scripts developed by Dave Mitchell[25]. This combination was used to perform background subtractions and subsequent \( \frac{L_3}{L_2} \) ratios on EELS spectrum.

Additional EELS analysis Matlab programs from *Electron Energy-Loss Spectroscopy in the Electron Microscope* by R.F. Egerton were used in learning background subtractions and deconvolutions of EELS spectra [4]. These programs were run in the GNU Octave software.

Mössbauer Data Analysis Program is a software initially developed by Robert Preston and maintained by Dennis Brown of Northern Illinois University. This software was for analysis of Mössbauer spectroscopy data including fitting the iron sites and parameterizing the magnetic field and isomer shift.
APPENDIX B

ADDITIONAL EDS SPECTRUM
Additional EDS mappings not provided within the body of this thesis are included within this appendix.

Figure B.1: EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with iron (red) and oxygen (blue) shown. The high presence of oxygen indicates a possibility of oxidation within the core.
Figure B.2: EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and iron (red) shown. The iron appears to coat the boron in this mapping indicating the presence of a core/shell structure.
Figure B.3: EDS Mapping of small nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and oxygen (blue) shown. A high presence of oxygen also contained in this mapping indicating possible oxidation.
Figure B.4: EDS Mapping of small nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and iron (red) shown. 5 individual core/shell structures are readily visible from this cluster.
Figure B.5: EDS Mapping of small nanoparticle cluster with iron (red) and oxygen (blue) shown. Oxygen presence surrounding the entirety of the core/shell structure indicating possible oxidation of core.
Figure B.6: EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and oxygen (blue) shown. Non-uniform distribution of boron is readily visible across this nanoparticle cluster.
Figure B.7: EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with iron (red) and oxygen (blue) shown. Non-uniform presence of oxygen in regards to oxygen indicates possible oxidation of core. The iron shell also exhibits a non-uniformity.

Figure B.8: EDS Mapping of nanoparticle cluster with boron (green) and oxygen (blue) shown. Oxygen distribution indicates a localization to the shell of the nanoparticle structure.