Introduction to Ethics Training

The State Officials and Employees Ethics Act (5 ILCS 430/5-10) (Ethics Act) requires university employees to complete, at least annually, an ethics training program conducted by their university. It also requires that new employees complete ethics training within 30 days of the commencement of employment or appointment. This training program is intended to allow you to meet your obligation to comply with those requirements.

You will be notified by the university each calendar year when you are required to complete annual ethics training. For the purposes of ethics training, employees are defined as individuals who receive a paycheck from the university as well as Board of Trustee members. Employees who do not complete the training as directed may be subject to disciplinary action and those who fail to do so in compliance with the law may face administrative fines by the Illinois Executive Ethics Commission (EEC).

Not only does the law require you to complete ethics training each year, but ethics plays a central role in business and social settings alike, so it is important to understand and demonstrate the highest ethical standards.

Training Requirements

- **New Employees:** If you are a newly hired employee, you must complete this training to satisfy a clause in the law requiring ethics training within 30 days of the commencement of employment. During the first year of employment, employees must complete the orientation program, in addition to satisfying the annual ethics online training requirement.

- **Permanent Employees (faculty, staff, student employees, etc.):** NIU employees must complete an interactive, online course annually during a designated window. The university Ethics Officer will let you know the dates and whether you are required to complete this course.

- **University Board of Trustees:** Public university board members must complete an annual training program specifically designed for appointees.

The Office of Executive Inspector General for the Agencies of the Illinois Governor (OEIG) establishes the hours and training frequency and provides standards to guide the development of ethics training programs. Training is overseen by the OEIG and the EEC in consultation with the Office of the Attorney General.
Your University Ethics Office
Each university and every state agency has an ethics officer who serves as the liaison between the institution, the appropriate Inspector General and the EEC. Some responsibilities of the university Ethics Officer include: developing and/or administering annual ethics training; reviewing the Statement of Economic Interests forms for officers and certain other employees; and providing guidance on interpretation and implementation of the Ethics Act. Interpretation of the Ethics Act is based on court decisions, Attorney General opinions, and the findings and opinions of the EEC. University Ethics Officer work products are exempt from production in response to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests. The university Ethics Office reports administratively to the President of the University and the Board of Trustees.

NIU Ethics Officer
James Guagliardo, University Legal Services
815-753-9517 or EthicsOfficer@niu.edu

NIU Ethics Training Administrators
Deborah Haliczer, Ethics Training Office
Karen Smith, Ethics Training Office

For more information on training and other processes: call 815-753-6039 or email EthicsTraining@niu.edu.

Executive Ethics Commission / Inspector General
The EEC is composed of nine appointed commissioners, each serving a four-year term. The EEC’s duties include, but are not limited to: conducting administrative hearings on alleged violations of the Ethics Act, providing guidance to university Ethics Officers, and overseeing ethics training for all employees of the executive branch of state government.

For additional information about the EEC, visit its website at: www2.illinois.gov/eec.

For university employees, the OEIG serves as the Executive Inspector General. This position is appointed by the Governor of the State of Illinois for a term of five years.

Established in 2003, the OEIG is an independent state agency. Its primary function is to investigate fraud, waste, abuse, and violations of the Ethics Act and other laws, rules, and policies in governmental entities. The OEIG investigates allegations of misconduct by the employees, appointees, and elected officials under its jurisdiction. The OEIG also has responsibility for investigating alleged violations by those doing business with entities under its jurisdiction.

The OEIG’s jurisdiction includes:
- The governor
- The lieutenant governor
- The board members and employees of and vendors and others doing business with the Regional Transit Boards (i.e., the RTA, the CTA, Metra, and Pace)
• The board members and employees of and vendors and others doing business with the state public universities
• All employees of and vendors and others doing business with state agencies and departments of the executive branch of state government, except for those agencies under the jurisdiction of other executive branch constitutional officers, specifically the attorney general, the comptroller, the treasurer, and the secretary of state (other inspectors general have jurisdiction over the four executive branch constitutional officers not under the OEIG’s jurisdiction, and the state legislature)

To file a complaint with the OEIG, please use one of the following means:
(1) Call 866.814.1113;
(2) Fax 312.814.5479;
(3) TTY 888.261.2734
(4) Email OIG.ComplaintDB2@Illinois.gov;
(5) Log in to www.inspectorgeneral.illinois.gov and click on “complaints”
(6) Mail your complaint to one of the OEIG offices:
   OEIG
   69 West Washington, Suite 3400
   Chicago, Illinois 60602
   OEIG
   607 West Adams, 14th Floor
   Springfield, Illinois 62701

For additional information about the OEIG, visit its website at: www.inspectorgeneral.illinois.gov.

Knowledge Check

Jenna is confused about an aspect of the Ethics Act and wants to obtain clarification.

Who should she contact?

A. Jenna should contact the Executive Ethics Commission.
B. Jenna should contact her university Ethics Officer.

The correct response is B.

Explanation of the answer:

Your university Ethics Officer is available to provide guidance about conduct, policies, procedures, and interpretation of the Ethics Act.

Gift Ban

The gift ban section of the Ethics Act is highly relevant in a university environment. As a result of the university’s diverse mission, employees at all levels may be presented with gifts (e.g., gratuity, discount, entertainment, hospitality, loan, forbearance, item having monetary value, honoraria related to employment or position, etc.) from a prohibited source.
Current vendors, along with their spouses and immediate family members living with the vendor, as well as those who do business or seek to do business with the university, are considered to be prohibited sources. Further, those seeking official action or who have interests that may be substantially affected by the performance or non-performance of the official duties of a university employee or the university are considered prohibited sources.

You, as well as any member of your immediate family living with you, must abide by the gift ban and the exceptions included within the law when offered a gift from a prohibited source. Gifts are defined in the law as any gratuity, discount, entertainment, hospitality, loan, forbearance, or other tangible or intangible item having monetary value including, but not limited to, cash, food and drink, and honoraria for speaking engagements related to or attributable to government employment or the official position of an employee, member or officer. Some of the commonly occurring exceptions to this ban are as follows:

1. You pay market value for the gift.
2. The offering is of educational materials and missions and is all of the following: classified as one that has a close connection to your employment, predominately benefits the public, furthers the university's mission, and is approved in advance of acceptance by the Executive Director of the EEC or a delegate.
3. The gift is from a relative.
4. The offering is provided on the basis of personal friendship, not in expectation of an official act.
5. The gifts are from another university employee or an employee of another governmental entity.

There are additional exceptions listed in the Ethics Act, but the most frequently occurring exceptions are detailed above. Ill. Exec. Order No. 15-09 (Jan. 13, 2015) further restricts gift acceptance by state and public university employees, prohibiting the solicitation or acceptance of any gift from a prohibited source unless one of the above exceptions is met.

Per Ill. Exec. Order No. 15-09, food and beverage provided by a prohibited source may only be accepted when provided as a de minimus meal or refreshment at a business meeting or reception attended by the employee in the course of their official duties.

Please note, the restrictions identified in Ill. Exec. Order No. 15-09 (Jan. 13, 2015) do not apply to students in positions that are exclusive to enrolled students, such as graduate assistants, medical residents, teaching assistants, and undergraduate student workers. They may still accept gifts meeting the exceptions, in addition to smaller items from a prohibited source totaling less than $100/calendar year, and meals not exceeding $75 in value per calendar day.

A full listing of the exceptions provided within the Ethics Act can be found at: www.ilga.gov/legislation/ilcs/ilcs3.asp?ChapterID=2&ActID=2529.

Ill. Exec. Order No. 15-09 can also be referenced at: https://www.illinois.gov/Government/ExecOrders/Pages/2015_9.aspx.

Any gift offered in an effort to influence the official actions of an employee, even if it is permissible by an exception to the gift ban provisions, is inappropriate and possibly illegal and must not be accepted. If in doubt, call your university Ethics Officer if you have any questions or concerns regarding a gift.
**Prohibited Gifts**
If you unknowingly accept a gift from a prohibited source, you do not violate the law if you promptly do any of the following:

- Return the gift to the giver.
- Donate the gift to an appropriate 501(c)3 charitable organization.
- Make a contribution equal to the value of the gift to an appropriate 501(c)3 charitable organization.

**Knowledge Check**
Allison will be retiring at the end of the year. Ron, a co-worker with whom Allison has worked for many years, gives her a $200 gift card.

*Is she in violation of the gift ban for accepting this gift?*

A. Yes, the acceptance of a gift from a co-worker is prohibited under the gift ban section of the *Ethics Act*.
B. No, there is an exception to the gift ban that allows employees to accept gifts from co-workers.

*The correct response is B.*

**Explanation of the answer:**
One of the exceptions within the gift ban allows the acceptance of intra-governmental gifts, which are gifts from employees within your unit or institution, as well as gifts from employees of other state public universities and agencies, known as inter-governmental gifts. Provided there are no departmental guidelines or policies that would restrict or prohibit this acceptance, Allison would not be in violation of the gift ban section of the *Ethics Act* or Executive Order 15-09 if she were to accept this gift.

**Personnel Policies**
The *Ethics Act* also requires the university to implement personnel policies related to work time requirements, documentation of time worked, and documentation of reimbursement for travel for university business, compensation, and accumulation of benefits. As a university employee, you are required by law to periodically submit time sheets documenting the time spent each day on official business to the nearest quarter hour. Your department can assist you in explaining the standard practice within your unit. This information must be detailed and truthful. Falsification may be considered fraud and or theft of resources punishable by discipline up to and including termination.

**Knowledge Check**
Liam isn't used to having a new child at home, and he's been late getting to the office multiple times in the past week. As a result, Liam asked a coworker to clock in for him so he doesn't get into trouble.
Is it appropriate for Liam to have someone else clock in for him?

A. No, Liam must clock himself in and appropriately account for his time at work.

B. Yes, as long as Liam works late on those days to make up for the late starts, it’s ok for him to manipulate his time card.

C. As long as Liam’s situation is temporary, it’s permissible for him to manipulate his time card.

The correct response is A.

Explanation of the answer:

Liam is responsible for truthful time reporting and must account for his time by clocking himself in and out of work each day. His coworker may not clock in for him; to do so is a violation of university policy. All employees are expected to be truthful about time spent on university business and account for their time to the nearest quarter of an hour.

Truthful Oral and Written Statements

As a university employee, you must be honest in all communications, regardless of whether they are oral or written. This includes, but is not limited to, all work products, documents, conversations, and electronic communications. To avoid violating any law, rule, or policy, or intentionally committing fraud, be sure to fully review and complete your documents. Knowingly providing false, inaccurate, or incomplete information is punishable up to and including termination of employment.

Use of State Property and Resources

University policy and good business practice do not allow for the use of university resources, including both tangible and intangible goods, such as equipment and time, for non-university purposes. In the following two sections, Conflicts of Interest (which include, among other things, second jobs outside the university) and Prohibited Political Activities will be discussed. Though these aspects are highlighted with special distinction in this training program, it is important to remember any improper use of university property, equipment, or time while working, violates university policy and procedure.

Knowledge Check

Jacob needed to print some fliers for his weekend garage sale and noticed a small stack of neon paper in the supply cabinet left over from a prior project. Knowing the unit did not have a use for the remaining paper, Jacob decided to print his garage sale flyers on the remaining neon paper.

How has Jacob misused university resources?

A. Jacob’s use of a university printer, paper, computer, and his time are all considered misuses of university resources.

B. None of the elements in the above scenario depict a misuse of university resources.
Adherence to Policies

As employees, it is important to be aware of and review institutional policies related to technology use, telephone use, procurement, hiring practices, and more, to better understand our role as stewards of the university and its resources. It is important to note that as employees, we are governed by a multitude of state, campus, and departmental policies, and it is our responsibility to become educated in these areas to allow us to make informed decisions in the best interest of the university. More information specific to policies can be found at:

http://niu.edu/board/regs/index.shtml
www.its.niu.edu/its/policies/sasp.shtml
http://its.niu.edu/its/policies/au.shtml
http://www.niu.edu/ethics/index.shtml
www.niu.edu/procurement/policies/index.shtml
http://www.hr.niu.edu/ServiceAreas/DiversityResources/

Knowledge Check

To help his son with a science project, George started collecting empty aluminum cans from university Housing event trash cans and taking them home. The Housing unit maintains a policy that aluminum cans are to be recycled through a service provided by the University, so George was disciplined for his personal use of the cans.

What was the basis for George's discipline?

A. George violated university policy and was disciplined for the violation.
B. There was no basis for discipline.

The correct response is A.

Explanation of the answer:

University employees are expected to be aware of and comply with all university and
departmental policies and procedures. Violations of existing policies and procedures will be handled in accordance with established disciplinary procedures. Typically, these types of matters should be reported to the employee’s supervisor, Human Resources, or the university Ethics Officer. The discipline is handled through coordination between Human Resources and the unit.

Conflicts of Interest

Actual or potential conflicts of interest exist when you have an opportunity to improperly advance your own interests or those of family members or friends above the interests of the University. These conflicts need to be disclosed, according to the appropriate university policy, and adequately managed or avoided. Each potential or actual conflict scenario is unique and employees are advised to thoroughly review the related university policy and consult with designated experts, supervisors, or the university Ethics Officer as necessary.

The University’s Policy on Conflicts of Commitment and Interests for Academic Professional employees can be found at: http://www.niu.edu/board/regs/sectionII.shtml.

Examples of Conflicts of Commitment and/or Interest:

- You have or your spouse has a university contract or a significant financial interest in a company doing business with the university.
- An opportunity for you to advance personally conflicts with the action that would be in the best interest of the university.
- You have a conflicting interest, such as a second position outside of the university that interferes with your ability to satisfy your university duties and responsibilities.
- You abuse your position and authority to divert university business to a private interest or for personal financial gain.

Knowledge Check

Jenna runs a family farm on the side and has begun selling produce to co-workers. She takes orders throughout the work day, brings the items to work the next day, and collects payment in the office.

Is this a potential or actual conflict of interest?

A. No, since the farm is family run and located at Jenna’s home, it is not considered a secondary business.
B. Yes, any income-producing activity outside of university employment is a potential or actual conflict of interest.

The correct response is B.

Explanation of the answer:

Conflicts (such as a second business), by university policy, are often required to be disclosed.
Prohibited Political Activity

The Ethics Act goes into significant detail, listing specific political activities that are prohibited. The basic definition of prohibited political activity includes activities that are in support of or in connection with any campaign for elective office or any political organization, or those activities that are either in support of or in opposition to a referendum question.

University employees may not intentionally perform any prohibited political activity during any compensated time other than vacation, personal, or compensatory time off and they may not intentionally misappropriate any state property or resources (including university property or resources) by engaging in any prohibited political activity for the benefit of any campaign for elective office or any political organization or referendum question.

Prohibited political activity includes, but is not limited to: soliciting campaign contributions or votes, assisting at the polls, circulating petitions, and hosting rallies for candidates for elective office. Though these activities are not allowable during compensated time, they are permissible if you are outside of that time and are not using university property or resources, such as, but not limited to, telephones, vehicles, tools, copiers, fax machines, email accounts, and computers. Additionally, to avoid any misconception their personal views are in any way representative of the university’s position, employees should not attend political events in a university-issued uniform.

You may be involved in these types of political activities during your typical work hours if you use vacation, personal, or compensatory time off to perform the activity and do not intentionally misappropriate any state property or resources. Examples of prohibited activities range from things as simple as placing a campaign contribution jar on an office desk for a candidate’s fund, to actively soliciting votes for a candidate, or a political party, while on work time, or using university email to distribute campaign materials or solicit campaign contributions. Additionally, the Ethics Act states it is illegal for any supervisor to intentionally misappropriate the services of any university employee by requiring that employee to perform any prohibited political activity as part of their job duties, as a condition of their employment, or during any time off that is compensated by the university (such as vacation, personal, or compensatory time off).

Prohibited Offer or Promise: You or a candidate for executive or legislative branch office may not promise anything of value related to university business or state government in consideration for a contribution to a political committee, political party or other entity that has as one of its purposes, the financial support of a candidate for elective office. If you are offered anything of value for your engaging in prohibited activity, such offer must be reported to the university Ethics Officer or the OEIG.
• **What is "anything of value related to university business"?**
Examples of this include job positions or appointments at the university, promotions, salary increases, the award of a contract, title changes, increased paid time off, or other employment benefits.

---

**Knowledge Check**

During a work day, David is planning to go to the State Capitol to participate in a political rally on behalf of an organization he volunteers with outside of his university work. His membership with this organization is private and is not affiliated with the University.

**What must David do to avoid violating the Ethics Act?**

A. There is nothing David needs to do since he is not paid for his participation.
B. David must use approved benefit time to attend this event during his regular working hours and make sure he does not wear his university uniform to the event.

*The correct response is B.*

**Explanation of the answer:**

*The Ethics Act restricts employees from conducting prohibited political activities while on university time or using university resources. As long as David uses appropriate benefit time and does not attempt to speak on behalf of the university, he is within the law, but he should not wear university-issued clothing or uniforms to the event.*

---

**Contributions on University Property:** Political campaign contributions may not be intentionally solicited, accepted, offered or made on state or university property by public officials, state or university employees, candidates for elective office, lobbyists, or officers, employees or agents of any political organization.

- **What is "state or university property"?**
Any building or portion of a building owned or exclusively leased by the State of Illinois or the university. This includes an office of the state or university within a privately owned office building.

- **What is NOT "state or university property"?**
Any portion of a building that is rented or leased from the state or university by a private person or entity is not state or university property. For example, a privately owned restaurant within a state/university building or a private party being held in a part of a state/university building that the private person has rented for the evening would not be considered state or university property.
An inadvertent solicitation, acceptance, offer, or making of a contribution is not a violation if reasonable and timely action is taken to return the contribution to its source.

**Knowledge Check**

A current state representative running for re-election was standing outside of a popular campus building, trying to collect campaign donations. The state representative has not rented the space from the university.

**Is the candidate for re-election violating the Ethics Act?**

A. Yes, the candidate for elective office is violating the law by standing on unrented campus (state) property and soliciting political contributions because she is a current state employee.

B. No, only state university employees can violate the Ethics Act.

The correct response is **A**.

**Explanation of the answer:**

Political contributions cannot be intentionally solicited, accepted, offered, or made on state property, which includes university property. Only if a private person or entity rented or leased the property from the university at the time the contributions were solicited or accepted would the activity be permissible under the Ethics Act. The employee witnessing this violation should contact the OEIG.

**Reporting Violations of Law, Rule, Regulation, or Policy**

If you witness misconduct or have evidence of it, you should report it to the proper authorities.

To report a non-emergency violation of law, rule, or regulation, you should contact the OEIG via its toll-free Hotline at **866-814-1113**. Questions and/or reports of alleged violations may also be submitted to the OEIG via the Internet at: [http://www.inspectorgeneral.illinois.gov](http://www.inspectorgeneral.illinois.gov). For those who require it, the OEIG may also be contacted toll-free via a telecommunications device for the disabled (TDD) at **888-261-2734**. Alleged violations may be reported to the OEIG anonymously.

In the event of an emergency situation such as those involving the illegal possession or use of a weapon, you should contact the Illinois State Police or other police agency that can provide the fastest response (for example, by dialing “911”).

**Rights and Responsibilities in Investigations**

University employees who become involved in an investigation conducted by the Executive Inspector General have both rights and responsibilities. As a university employee, you have an obligation to cooperate in such investigations. You must participate in interviews as requested, tell the truth, and
not withhold information. Failure to cooperate includes, but is not limited to, intentional omissions and knowing false statements, and is grounds for disciplinary action, including dismissal.

In the course of an investigation, investigators may request information from any person when the information is deemed necessary for the investigation. The Executive Inspector General may issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents and other items for inspection and copying.

In particular, the Executive Inspector General may request that any university employee truthfully answer questions concerning any matter related to the investigation. If so requested, no statement or other evidence therefrom may be used against the employee in any subsequent criminal prosecution, unless the employee consents.

Requests for production or viewing of documents or physical objects under state public university control must be made in writing by an Executive Inspector General. If the recipient of such a request believes that the release of the subject matter of the request might violate existing rights under state or federal law, the recipient has the right to seek a determination from the EEC relative to such rights or protections.

As a university employee, you have various additional rights during investigations, including, but not limited to those resulting from EEC rules (2 Ill. Adm. Code Section 1620.300), which specify: If investigators reasonably believe an employee who is the subject of the investigative interview will likely face discipline, the investigators must notify the employee whether the underlying investigation is criminal or administrative in nature.

**Criminal vs. Administrative Investigations**

If the underlying investigation is administrative in nature, the interviewee shall be presented a form that outlines the interviewee’s rights during the interview, including the right to presence of a union representative or coworker uninvolved in the investigation.

If the underlying investigation is criminal in nature, the interviewee shall be presented a form that outlines the interviewee’s rights during the interview, including the right to the presence of an attorney, union representative, or coworker uninvolved in the investigation.

It is the policy of the OEIG that OEIG investigators will present the interviewee with an OEIG form outlining the interviewee’s rights unless OEIG investigators reasonably believe at the time of an interview that there are no circumstances under which an interviewee will be subject or likely to face discipline or adverse action.

No EIG or investigator shall infringe upon a university employee’s right to seek advice from their university Ethics Officer on the interpretation and implementation of the *Ethics Act*, or to seek advice from private legal counsel.

The full text of the rules governing OEIG investigations may be found at the EEC’s website: [www2.illinois.gov/eec](http://www2.illinois.gov/eec).

Even with OEIG’s authority to request documents, the *Ethics Act* does not absolve the...
Whistle Blower Protection
The Ethics Act, the Whistleblower Act, and university policy, protect employees who, in good faith, report or threaten to report an act or omission they believe to be a violation of law, policy, or procedure.

Protected Activities
The university or an employee of the university cannot retaliate against you for engaging in any of the following protected activities:

- Disclosing or threatening to disclose to a supervisor or a public body, any practice, activity, or policy of a state or university employee or state agency or university that the employee reasonably believes is in violation of a law, rule, or regulation.

- Providing information to or testifying before a public body about any violation of the law, rule, or regulation, by any officer, member of the General Assembly, university or state employee, state agency, or the university.

- Assisting or participating in a proceeding to enforce the Ethics Act.

Under the Ethics Act, retaliatory action is defined as reprimand, discharge, suspension, demotion, or denial of promotion or transfer, or change in the terms or conditions of employment of any state employee, which is taken in retaliation for involvement in protected activity. It is not retaliation if the employer can demonstrate, by clear and convincing evidence, the same personnel action would have been taken even in the absence of the protected activity.

Individuals who take retaliatory action against whistle blowers are subject to disciplinary action up to and including discharge by the university, in addition to potential administrative action by the EEC.

Under the Whistleblower Act, it is generally unlawful for any employer to retaliate or threaten retaliation for an employee’s disclosure of information to a government or law enforcement agency if the employee has reasonable cause to believe that the information discloses a violation of a state or federal law, rule, or regulation.

If an employer retaliates against an employee in violation of the Whistleblower Act, the employee may bring a civil action against the employer that may result in:

- reinstatement of employment and seniority rights;
• back pay, with interest; and,
• compensation for any damages including litigation cost, expert witness fees, and reasonable attorney’s fees.

**Knowledge Check**

David feels he is being retaliated against as a result of his report that his supervisor was misappropriating his time.

**What should David ask himself to determine if the action may be retaliatory?**

A. Have I been reprimanded, discharged, or suspended?
B. Was I demoted or denied a promotion or transfer?
C. Have the terms or conditions of my employment changed?
D. Any of the above.

The correct response is D.

**Explanation of the answer:**

If David answers yes to any of the questions posed above, then he may be experiencing retaliation. David was right to report his supervisor’s abuse of time reporting policies. As a result of his report, he was afforded whistleblower protections and he should contact his university Ethics Officer or the OEIG, detailing the events and related timeline.

**Official Misconduct**

The Illinois *Criminal Code of 2012* (720 ILCS 5/33-3) indicates that any public officer or employee commits misconduct when, in their official capacity, they:

- Intentionally or recklessly fail to perform a mandatory duty as required by law; or
- Knowingly perform an act which the employee knows to be forbidden by law to perform; or
- Perform an act in excess of the employee’s lawful authority with intent to obtain a personal advantage for themselves or another; or
- Solicit or knowingly accept for the performance of any act a fee or reward which the employee knows is unauthorized by law.

Any employee or public officer convicted of violating any provision of this section commits a Class 3 felony.

If anyone attempts to improperly influence your official actions as a state public university employee, especially if there is an attempt to have you or another employee act in a manner that is unlawful or is in violation of university policies, it is your responsibility to immediately report this matter to the appropriate authorities. In certain instances, failure to report a violation, such as a bribe, can place an employee in violation of the law as well.
Revolving Door Prohibition
The Revolving Door Prohibition (5 ILCS 430/5-45) states no employee shall within a period of one year immediately after termination of State employment, knowingly accept employment or receive compensation or fees for services from a person or entity if the officer, member, or State employee, during the year immediately preceding termination of State employment, participated personally and substantially in the award of State contracts, or the issuance of State contract change orders, with a cumulative value of $25,000 or more to the person or entity, or its parent or subsidiary. That provision also applies to spouses and immediate family members living with the State employee.

University employees whose job positions, by nature of their duties, may have the authority to participate personally and substantially in the award of state contracts or in regulatory or licensing decisions, are required to notify the OEIG prior to accepting an offer of non-state employment received during their state employment or during the year following their termination of state employment. University employees who fall into that category are instructed in writing by their university Ethics Officer and are required to confirm acknowledgment of those requirements. If you are unsure whether the requirement to seek a determination applies to you, please contact your university Ethics Officer.

Additionally, as of February 15, 2015, per Ill. Exec. Order No. 15-09 (Jan. 13, 2015), no state employee or appointee can negotiate for employment or compensation with any person or entity lobbying their agency of current employment. If an employee terminates their employment with a state agency in Illinois, the prohibition expands to prohibit lobbying for any state agency, not just specifically the agency where they were employed during the previous year. As such, for one year following employment with a state agency in Illinois, an individual may not accept compensation for lobbying any state agency in Illinois. This prohibition does not apply to students whose employment is directly associated with their enrollment (e.g., undergraduate students, graduate students, teaching assistants, or medical residents).

Specific instructions and forms related to the revolving door process for those who are subject to the requirement to seek a determination can be found at: www.inspectorgeneral.illinois.gov.

Please note there is no notification requirement or determination process for the President, Chief Procurement Officer, and members of the Board of Trustees, among others. Due to the nature of their positions, they are strictly prohibited from accepting employment or compensation from persons or entities who were awarded certain contracts or who were the subject of regulatory or licensing decisions by the state employee’s agency, regardless of whether the state employee himself or herself participated personally and substantially in those awards or decisions.

If a current or former trustee accepts non-state employment in violation of the revolving door prohibitions, a fine of up to three times the total compensation that would have been obtained may be levied by the EEC.

Knowledge Check
Tom has not been notified by his university Ethics Officer that he is subject to the revolving door notification requirements of the Ethics Act. Additionally, Tom was not involved in any contracting, licensing, or regulatory decisions in his last year at the university.
Is Tom allowed to accept employment with the vendor without an OEIG determination?

A. Yes, Tom is not subject to the revolving door notification requirements and he has not been involved in procurement, regulatory, or licensing decisions in his past year of employment, so he may accept employment without a determination.

B. No, every employee who seeks or accepts a position with a vendor of the university must file a determination request with the OEIG.

The correct response is A.

Explanation of the answer:
The revolving door prohibitions state that certain employees will be told they are required to seek a determination from the OEIG prior to accepting employment for 12 months after leaving state employment. Any employee so instructed must notify the OEIG before accepting any employment offer. If any state employee has a question about whether they are covered by the requirement to seek a determination, he or she should contact the university Ethics Officer.

Statement of Economic Interests

The Statement of Economic Interests form is a disclosure required by the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act to be filed with the Office of the Secretary of State. A select population of Northern Illinois University employees is required to submit this form by May 1st annually. The university coordinates this process with the Secretary of State, providing the mailing addresses for all employees who are required to file. Those individuals required to file are notified early each spring via the address provided to the Secretary of State. The Illinois Governmental Ethics Act identifies certain categories of individuals who are required to file a Statement of Economic Interests form (Statement), including but not limited to: members of the Board of Trustees; deans, directors, and department heads; persons who have supervisory authority over, or direct responsibility for the formulation of contracts; persons who supervise 20 or more university employees; and employees who have responsibility with respect to the procurement of goods and services.

The Ethics Act requires that university Ethics Officers review employee Statements prior to submitting them to the Secretary of State for filing. Please refer to your university Ethics Officer for more detailed filing instructions. You may also refer to Section 4A-101 of the Illinois Governmental Ethics Act (5 ILCS 420).

Ill Exec. Order 15-09 (Jan. 13, 2015) also requires this population to file a Supplemental Statement of Interests form with the EEC. This three question supplemental form is printed on yellow paper and mailed to the homes of required filers. It does NOT require university Ethics Officer review and is due May 1st annually. The Supplemental Statement of Interests should be filed with the EEC and not the Secretary of State.
**Procurement Communications Reporting**

Another requirement of state law, derived from the Illinois *Procurement Code* (30 ILCS 500/50-39), states that employees receiving communications, written or oral, that impart or request material information or make a material argument regarding potential action concerning an active procurement matter (e.g., application, contract, project, or proposal) must report that written or oral communication to the Procurement Policy Board via its website at: https://pcrs.illinois.gov unless the communication was held in or posted to a public forum (e.g., Illinois Procurement Bulletin).

If you believe you have been involved in a communication with an outside party that requires reporting, please consult your university Ethics Officer to ensure your compliance with the Illinois *Procurement Code*. For further instructions related to the reporting process, including instructions for completing the form itself, please visit the Procurement Policy Board's website. The reports will be published for public viewing at: https://pcrs.illinois.gov.

Further information regarding reporting exemptions and requests for access to the Procurement Policy Board website is available on the University Ethics Office website at: www.ethics.uillinois.edu/compliance/procurement_communications_reporting.

**Penalties within the Law**

Penalties for violations of ethics-related laws, rules, and policies by university employees are dependent upon the specific circumstances. Penalties may include administrative action up to and including termination of employment. In addition, the EEC may levy administrative fines for certain violations of the *Ethics Act*. Illegal acts, such as bribery or official misconduct, may result in criminal prosecution. If you have any questions or concerns, you can always contact your university Ethics Officer.

---

**Knowledge Check**

A group of employees were talking over lunch about an article that appeared in the newspaper recently regarding an employee who violated the *Ethics Act* by refusing to complete her annual ethics training.

What potential discipline might an employee face for violating the *Ethics Act*?

A. An employee could be disciplined up to and including termination by their institution or agency of employment, in addition to a possible fine by the EEC.

B. There is no discipline for violating the *Ethics Act*.

The correct response is A.

Explanation of the answer:

*Employees who violate the Ethics Act are subject to internal discipline through their institution or agency of employment, based on established policies and procedures. This discipline can be up to and including termination. Additionally, employees may have to go before the EEC for an administrative hearing, where they can be fined up to $5,000 for a violation of the Ethics Act.*
Thank You for your Compliance!
Thank you for completing your ethics training as required by the *Ethics Act* each calendar year. Please note that continued employment as a full-time employee, instructor, student worker, or extra help employee, will require you to complete ethics on-line training each calendar year.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the University Ethics Training Office via email at: EthicsTraining@niu.edu or call 815-753-6039.

Remember, inspiring integrity at Northern Illinois University requires each and every employee’s dedication. Please do your part by reporting fraud, waste, abuse, mismanagement, misconduct, conflicts of interest, lack of compliance, or other violations of federal law, state law, contractual agreements or University policy.
I certify that I have carefully read and reviewed the content of, and completed the

ETHICS ORIENTATION FOR NEW NIU EMPLOYEES:

Furthermore, I certify that I understand my failure to comply with the laws, rules, policies, and procedures referred to within this training course may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination of state employment/appointment, administrative fines, and possible criminal prosecution, depending on the nature of the violation.

Name: ______________________________________
(print: last name, first name, middle initial)

Signature:____________________________________

Today’s Date: ____________________________

Date of Birth: ___________________________

Department of Employment: ________________________________

Please Check: new hire* ____  rehire____  volunteer____  additional job____

(*new to university)

To be properly credited for participating in ethics training, please complete and return the signature page via campus mail, or fax to 815-753-0817.

NIU Ethics Training Administrator
HSC 7th Floor – 709
NIU
DeKalb, IL  60115